

TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES : (During 15.03.2012 to 14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG./ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG./ I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

1.4.1: Institution obtains feedback on the academic performance and ambience of the institution from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni etc. and action taken report on the feedback is made available on institutional website.

Details of Supporting documents

Sr. No.	Content
1.	Student Feedback & Action taken
2.	Alumni Feedback & Action taken
3.	Employer Feedback & Action taken
4.	Parent Feedback & Action taken
5.	Infrastructure Feedback & Action taken

STUDENT FEEDBACK



Department of Computer Engineering

SN	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	1. How much of the syllabus			4. The teacher's approach to				8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools		10. Effectiveness of	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
								teaching can best be described as	evaluation process by the	-		such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc.	teaching- learning	study material provided by		l
					the class.	ciasses:	ate?		teachers.	with you?	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	process of	Teacher like		
													teacher is	PPT/Notes/E- books etc.		l
 																
l					2022-2	2023	(Mic	d Terr	n Fee	dback	k) FH	[-2023				
1	Prof.Smitha N	AM-IV	IV	2022-23	81.10	77.44	79.88	80.89	75.61	85.57	87.60	84.76	79.67	75.41	80.79	Good
			1		İ											t

1	Prof.Smitha N	AM-IV	IV	2022-23	81.10	77.44	79.88	80.89	75.61	85.57	87.60	84.76	79.67	75.41	80.79	Good
2	Prof. Priya Gupta	MUP	IV	2022-23	80.08	77.85	79.47	79.27	77.03	85.98	88.21	83.74	81.1	76.42	80.92	Good
3	Prof. Vidya Rai	AOA	IV	2022-23	81.1	78.66	79.88	81.1	75.41	86.99	88.82	84.55	81.5	75.41	81.34	Good
4	Prof. Randeep Kaur	Python	IV	2022-23	81.1	78.46	79.88	80.89	75.41	86.79	88.82	84.55	81.3	75.61	81.28	Good
5	Prof.Sarala Mary	os	IV	2022-23	80.24	79.84	80.24	81.85	76.61	86.69	89.52	83.87	83.06	76.61	81.85	Good
6	Prof. Pankaj Meheshre	DBMS	IV	2022-23	81.45	77.02	79.84	77.82	75.81	86.29	88.31	85.48	79.44	75.40	80.69	Good
7	Dr. Rais Mulla	DBMS	IV	2022-23	81.15	77.46	79.92	78.28	75.82	86.07	88.52	85.25	79.92	75	80.74	Good
8	Prof.Jignasha Sosa	OS	IV	2022-23	81.97	78.28	81.15	79.1	75.82	86.07	89.34	85.66	80.74	75.41	81.35	Good
9	Prof. Atul Shintre	CSS	VI	2022-23	81.15	78.28	80.33	79.51	76.23	86.48	88.93	84.84	81.15	76.64	81.35	Good
10	Prof.Asharani Shinde	CSS	VI	2022-23	78.46	75.77	76.92	78.46	74.23	87.31	86.54	81.92	80.77	75.77	79.62	Good
11	Prof.Shridhar Desai	AI	VI	2022-23	80.36	78.77	79.76	80.75	75.6	86.9	88.89	83.93	82.14	72.62	80.97	Good
12	Prof. Shubham Zanwar	IoT	VI	2022-23	79.37	75.6	77.78	77.78	75.2	85.91	87.1	83.13	79.37	75.2	79.64	Good
13	Prof. Tulshidas Mane	MC	VI	2022-23	80.16	78.77	79.56	80.95	75.4	87.1	88.89	83.73	82.34	75.6	81.25	Good
14	Prof. Swarupa Wagh	SPCC	VI	2022-23	79.23	76.92	77.69	78.08	75.38	86.54	86.92	82.69	80	75.77	79.92	Good
15	Prof.Prajakta Khelkar	SPCC	VI	2022-23	79.92	78.28	79.51	79.92	76.23	86.07	88.52	83.61	81.56	75.41	80.90	Good
16	Dr. Mahavir Devmane	PM	VIII	2022-23	79.63	75.46	77.78	77.78	75.46	87.04	86.57	82.87	79.63	75.93	79.82	Good
17	Dr. Mahendra Pawar	DF	VIII	2022-23	80.7	77.19	79.39	78.95	75	86.84	88.16	84.21	80.7	75.44	80.66	Good

18	Prof.Vinod Alone	SMA	VIII	2022-23	81.08	77.25	79.50	79.05	73.65	86.26	87.61	84.68	79.95	76.80	80.58	Good
19	Prof. Priyanka Rane	FM	VIII	2022-23	80.7	75.44	78.51	77.19	71.49	85.96	87.28	84.21	78.51	76.32	79.56	Good
20	Prof. Aditi Warange	DC	VIII	2022-23	79.39	75	77.19	77.63	74.56	86.84	86.4	82.89	79.39	75.44	79.47	Good
21	Prof. Manjiri Pathak	DC	VIII	2022-23	80.56	75.93	78.24	78.7	75.46	86.57	90.74	84.26	79.17	76.39	80.60	Good
22	Prof.Praful Chougule	DF	VIII	2022-23	77.31	76.39	76.85	77.78	71.3	86.11	86.57	81.02	81.02	77.31	79.17	Good

2022-2023 (End Term Feedback) FH-2023

1	Prof.Smitha N	AM-IV	IV	2022-23	81.51	77.52	80.04	80.46	75.63	85.5	87.82	84.66	79.62	75.42	80.82	Good
2	Prof. Priya Gupta	MUP	IV	2022-23	80.04	77.31	79.2	78.78	76.47	85.92	87.82	83.61	80.67	76.05	80.59	Good
3	Prof. Vidya Rai	AOA	IV	2022-23	81.09	78.57	79.83	81.3	75.42	86.97	88.87	84.45	81.51	75	81.30	Good
4	Prof. Randeep Kaur	Python	IV	2022-23	81.51	78.57	80.25	81.09	75.84	86.76	89.08	84.87	81.30	75.42	81.47	Good
5	Prof.Sarala Mary	os	IV	2022-23	81.36	79.66	80.93	81.78	76.69	86.86	89.83	84.75	82.63	77.12	82.16	Good
6	Prof. Pankaj Meheshre	DBMS	IV	2022-23	81.78	77.12	80.08	78.39	75.42	86.44	88.56	85.59	79.66	75	80.80	Good
7	Dr. Rais Mulla	DBMS	IV	2022-23	81.78	77.12	80.08	78.39	75.42	86.44	88.56	85.59	79.66	76.27	80.93	Good
8	Prof.Jignasha Sosa	OS	IV	2022-23	81.36	77.97	80.51	78.39	75.42	85.59	88.98	85.59	80.08	76.69	81.06	Good
9	Prof. Atul Shintre	CSS	VI	2022-23	80.6	78.88	80.17	80.17	75.43	86.64	89.22	84.48	81.9	76.72	81.42	Good
10	Prof.Asharani Shinde	CSS	VI	2022-23	79.37	75.79	77.78	77.78	75	86.9	86.9	82.94	80.16	76.59	79.92	Good
11	Prof.Shridhar Desai	AI	VI	2022-23	80.65	78.83	80.04	80.65	75.81	86.9	89.11	84.27	82.06	75.2	81.35	Good
12	Prof. Shubham Zanwar	IoT	VI	2022-23	79.44	75.4	77.62	77.82	74.8	86.09	86.9	83.06	79.23	75	79.54	Good
13	Prof. Tulshidas Mane	MC	VI	2022-23	80.24	79.03	79.84	81.05	76.01	86.9	89.11	83.87	82.46	75.6	81.41	Good
14	Prof. Swarupa Wagh	SPCC	VI	2022-23	79.37	77.38	78.17	78.17	76.59	86.11	87.3	82.94	80.16	75.79	80.20	Good
15	Prof.Prajakta Khelkar	SPCC	VI	2022-23	80.17	78.02	79.31	80.17	75.43	86.64	88.36	83.62	81.47	75.86	80.91	Good
16	Dr. Mahavir Devmane	PM	VIII	2022-23	80.29	75.48	78.37	77.4	75.48	87.02	87.02	83.65	79.33	76.44	80.05	Good
17	Dr. Mahendra Pawar	DF	VIII	2022-23	80.36	77.68	79.46	79.46	74.55	86.61	88.39	83.93	81.25	75	80.67	Good
18	Prof.Vinod Alone	SMA	VIII	2022-23	81.25	77.31	79.63	78.94	73.61	86.34	87.73	84.95	79.86	76.85	80.65	Good
19	Prof. Priyanka Rane	FM	VIII	2022-23	80.36	75.45	78.13	77.23	70.98	86.16	87.05	83.93	78.57	75.89	79.38	Good
20	Prof. Aditi Warange	DC	VIII	2022-23	79.91	75	77.68	77.23	75	86.61	86.61	83.48	79.02	75.45	79.60	Good
21	Prof. Manjiri Pathak	DC	VIII	2022-23	80.77	76.44	78.85	78.85	76.92	86.06	90.87	84.62	79.33	76.44	80.92	Good
22	Prof.Praful Chougule	DF	VIII	2022-23	82.21	77.4	79.33	76.92	75.48	86.54	86.06	85.58	77.88	75.48	80.29	Good



Dr. Rais Mulla HOD , Dept. of Comp. Engg.



Department of Computer Engineering

SN	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	were the teachers able	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tu torial discussed with you?	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is		AVERAGE FEEDBACK	FeedbackRe mark
				4	2022-2	2023	(Mic	l Teri	n Fee	dback	s) SH	2022				
1	Prof.Smitha N	AM-III	III	2022-23	81.30	77.73	80.04	80.46	75.84	85.50	88.03	84.66	79.83	75.42	80.88	Good
2	Prof. Tulshidas Mane	DLCA	III	2022-23	82.54	78.57	80.56	81.75	75.4	86.9	89.68	86.11	80.56	76.59	81.87	Good
3	Prof. Swarupa Wagh	OOP with Java	III	2022-23	82.02	77.63	80.7	78.51	75	85.96	89.04	85.96	79.82	75.44	81.01	Good
4	Prof.Srushti Jadhav	Data Structure	III	2022-23	80.7	78.51	79.82	81.14	75.44	86.4	76.32	84.21	81.58	75.88	80.00	Good
5	Prof. Prajakta Khelkar	Data Structure	III	2022-23	80.16	78.57	79.76	80.56	75.40	86.11	88.89	83.73	81.75	75.79	81.07	Good
6	Dr. Mahavir Devmane	CG	III	2022-23	80.56	79.76	80.56	81.75	76.98	86.51	89.68	84.13	82.94	76.19	81.91	Good
7	Prof. Pankaj Meheshre	CG	III	2022-23	81.58	80.7	81.58	82.02	78.51	85.96	90.35	85.53	82.46	75.88	82.46	Good
8	Prof. Manjiri Pathak	DSGT	III	2022-23	80.25	77.1	79.2	78.57	76.05	86.13	87.82	83.82	80.46	76.26	80.57	Good
9	Prof. Srikant Bagewadi	OOP with Java	III	2022-23	81.75	76.98	80.16	77.78	75.4	86.11	88.49	85.71	79.37	75.4	80.72	Good
10	Prof. Shubham Zanwar	DLCA	III	2022-23	79.82	78.95	79.39	80.7	76.32	84.21	84.21	83.33	82.02	78.51	80.75	Good
11	Prof. Atul Shintre	DWM	V	2022-23	80.34	78.63	79.7	80.56	75.43	86.97	88.89	83.97	82.05	75.21	81.18	Good
12	Prof.Eresh Kendole	BCE	V	2022-23	81.84	78.63	80.56	80.98	77.35	86.75	89.32	85.26	81.2	76.07	81.80	Good
13	Prof. Vidya Rai	SE	V	2022-23	79.7	74.79	77.35	75.85	75.21	86.32	86.11	83.33	78.21	72.44	78.93	Good
14	Prof.Priyanka Rane	TCS	V	2022-23	78.21	75.43	76.71	77.35	75.21	86.54	86.11	82.05	79.91	75.43	79.30	Good
15	Prof. Jignasha Sosa	IP	V	2022-23	80.56	77.78	79.27	80.34	76.71	87.18	88.25	83.76	81.41	76.28	81.15	Good
16	Prof. Priya Gupta	CN	V	2022-23	79.91	75.43	77.99	77.78	75.21	86.11	87.18	83.55	79.06	75.21	79.74	Good
17	Dr. Rais Mulla	BDA	VII	2022-23	78.69	76.23	77.46	78.28	79.92	86.89	86.89	82.38	80.74	77.46	80.49	Good
18	Prof.Asharani Shinde	CSL	VII	2022-23	80.17	76.03	78.1	77.27	75.21	86.57	86.98	83.68	79.13	75.21	79.84	Good
19	Prof.Vinod Alone	BLCN	VII	2022-23	80.37	77.89	79.34	79.75	75.62	86.78	87.4	84.09	81.2	76.45	80.89	Good

20	Dr. Mahendra Pawar	NLP	VII	2022-23	79.96	77.07	78.72	79.13	75.21	86.98	87.81	83.47	80.99	75.00	80.43	Good
21	Prof. Shridhar Desai	ML	VII	2022-23	80	75.83	77.92	78.33	76.25	86.25	87.92	83.75	79.17	75.83	80.13	Good
22	Prof. Aditi Warange	ML	VII	2022-23	79.51	75	77.46	77.05	75.82	86.48	86.48	83.2	79.1	72.13	79.22	Good
23	Prof. Praful Chougule	BDA	VII	2022-23	80	75	77.92	77.08	77.5	87.08	86.67	83.33	79.17	76.25	80.00	Good
	!	Į.			2022-2	2023	(Enc	l Terr	n Fee	dback) SH	2022	!		<u>.</u>	.1
1	Prof.Smitha N	AM-III	Ш	2022-23	81.62	77.56	80.13	80.56	75.64	85.68	88.03	84.83	79.7	75.43	80.92	Good
2	Prof. Tulshidas Mane	DLCA	Ш	2022-23	83.06	78.63	81.05	81.45	75.81	86.69	89.92	86.69	80.24	75.4	81.89	Good
3	Prof. Swarupa Wagh	OOP with Java	Ш	2022-23	81.82	77.27	80.45	78.18	74.09	86.36	88.64	85.45	80	75.91	80.82	Good
4	Prof.Srushti Jadhav	Data Structure	Ш	2022-23	80.45	78.18	79.55	80.91	74.55	86.82	75.45	83.64	81.82	76.36	79.77	Good
5	Prof. Prajakta Khelkar	Data Structure	Ш	2022-23	80.24	78.63	79.84	80.65	75.4	86.29	89.11	83.87	81.85	75.81	81.17	Good
6	Dr. Mahavir Devmane	CG	III	2022-23	80.24	79.84	80.24	81.85	76.61	86.69	90.32	83.87	83.06	76.61	81.93	Good
7	Prof. Pankaj Meheshre	CG	III	2022-23	82.27	80.45	81.82	82.27	78.18	86.36	85.45	85.91	82.27	75.45	82.04	Good
8	Prof. Manjiri Pathak	DSGT	III	2022-23	79.91	77.35	79.06	78.85	76.28	86.11	87.82	83.55	80.77	76.28	80.60	Good
9	Prof. Srikant Bagewadi	OOP with Java	III	2022-23	81.45	77.42	80.24	78.23	76.21	85.89	88.71	85.48	79.84	75	80.85	Good
10	Prof. Shubham Zanwar	DLCA	III	2022-23	79.09	78.64	78.64	80.45	75.45	83.64	83.18	82.73	82.27	77.73	80.18	Good
11	Prof. Atul Shintre	DWM	V	2022-23	79.69	78.57	79.24	80.36	75.22	86.83	88.62	83.48	82.14	75.67	80.98	Good
12	Prof.Eresh Kendole	BCE	V	2022-23	81.7	78.79	80.58	80.8	77.68	86.61	89.29	85.27	81.25	76.12	81.81	Good
13	Prof. Vidya Rai	SE	V	2022-23	79.91	75.67	77.46	75.89	75.22	86.38	86.16	83.48	78.13	75.67	79.40	Good
14	Prof.Priyanka Rane	TCS	V	2022-23	78.35	75.45	76.79	77.46	75.89	86.61	86.16	82.14	79.91	75.67	79.44	Good
15	Prof. Jignasha Sosa	IP	V	2022-23	80.36	77.9	79.24	80.13	76.34	87.05	88.17	83.71	81.47	76.34	81.07	Good
16	Prof. Priya Gupta	CN	V	2022-23	79.69	75.45	77.9	77.46	75.45	85.94	87.05	83.48	79.02	75.22	79.67	Good
17	Dr. Rais Mulla	BDA	VII	2022-23	79.82	75.44	78.07	77.19	75.88	86.84	87.28	83.33	79.39	76.75	80.00	Good
18	Prof.Asharani Shinde	CSL	VII	2022-23	79.7	76.07	77.78	77.14	75.21	86.54	86.75	83.33	79.27	76.07	79.79	Good
19	Prof.Vinod Alone	BLCN	VII	2022-23	79.91	77.99	79.06	79.7	75.64	86.75	87.18	83.76	81.41	76.28	80.77	Good
20	Dr. Mahendra Pawar	NLP	VII	2022-23	79.49	77.14	78.42	79.06	75.21	86.97	87.61	83.12	81.2	75.21	80.34	Good
21	Prof. Shridhar Desai	ML	VII	2022-23	80.08	75.85	77.97	78.39	76.27	86.44	90.68	83.9	79.24	75.85	80.47	Good
22	Prof. Aditi Warange	ML	VII	2022-23	79.39	75	77.19	77.63	75.44	86.84	86.4	82.89	79.39	75.44	79.56	Good
23	Prof. Praful Chougule	BDA	VII	2022-23	77.54	75.42	76.27	77.54	79.66	81.78	83.9	81.36	80.51	76.69	79.07	Good



Dr. Rais Mulla HOD , Dept. of Comp. Engg.




Department of Computer Engineering

Academic Year: 2022-23 Name of Faculty: Prof. Atul Shintre Subject: CSS SEM - VI

SN	1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	CONTRACTOR OF CO	3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	approach to	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tutor	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	quality of teaching-	10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
								3	4	3
1	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3
4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
5	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	4
6	4	2	3	2	11	3	3	3	3	3
7	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
8	2	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
10	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3
11	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
12	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4
13	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
14	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	2	4	2
15	2	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
16	3	3	3	3	3		4	3	4	3
17	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
18	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	4
19	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	2	4	2
20	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	3	3
21	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	3
22	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
23	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4
24	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3
5	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
6	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	4
	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	4	2
8	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	2 4 4 2 3 2 4 4 3	4 3 2 3 3 4 4 4 3	3 4 3 2 3 3 4	3 3 2 4 3 3	4 4 1 2 3	3 3 4 4	4 3	3 4 4	3	3
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	4 2 3 2 4 4 3	2 3 3 4 4	3 2 3 3	2 4 3	1 2	4	3			2
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	2 3 2 4 4 3	3 3 4 4	2 3 3	3	2			4	_	
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	3 2 4 4 3	3 4 4	3	3		4			2	4
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	2 4 4 3	4	3				3	2	4	2
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	4 3	4		3		3	3	3	3	3
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	3		4		4	3	4	3	4	3
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	3	3		4	4	4	4	4	4	4
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47			4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
41 42 43 44 45 46 47		3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
42 43 44 45 46 47	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
43 44 45 46 47	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
43 44 45 46 47	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
45 46 47	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
46 47	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
46 47	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
47	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
49	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
50	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
51	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
52	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
53	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
54	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
56	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
57	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
58	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
59	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
60	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
61	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
01	3	J							41.0	
AVG 8:										76.64

AVG	81.15	78.28	80.33	79.51	76.23	86.48	88.93	84.84	81.15	76.64



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

HOD COMP




Department of Computer Engineering

Academic Year: 2022-23 Name of Faculty: Prof. Atul Shintre Subject: CSS SEM - VI

SN	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	the internal evaluation		7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	10, Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
										2
1	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
5	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
6	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
10	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
11	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
12	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
13	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
14	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
15	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
16	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
17	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
18	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
19	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
20	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
21	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
22	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
23	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
24	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
25		3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
26	4		3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
27 28	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2

29	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	3
30	2	4	3	3	4	3	-	3	4	3
31	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
32	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
33	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
34	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
35	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
36	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
37	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
38	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
39	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
40	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
41	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
42	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
43	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
44	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
45	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
46	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
47	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
48	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
49	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
50	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
51	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
52	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
53	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
54	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
56	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
57	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
58	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
		T			T 1	04 1 1 1 1	N. K. H. LONG			
VG	80.60	78.88	80.17	80.17	75.43	86.64	89.22	84.48	81.90	76.72



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

> Dr. Rais Mulla HOD COMP



Department of Computer Engineering


Name of Faculty: Prof. Manjiri Pathak Subject: DSGT SEM - III Academic Year: 2022-23

SN		2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	were the	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tutor ial discussed with you?	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	 Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
		15:	-	17. 1					1-17	
1	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
5	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
6	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	2	4	3	3 -	4	3	4	3	4	3
9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
10	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
11	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
12	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
13	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
14	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
16	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
17	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
18	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
19	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
20	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
21	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
22	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
23	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3

24	4	3	4	3	T 4	3	(3)	4	3	2
25	4	2	3		4	4	3	4	2	4
26	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3
27	2	4	3	3	3 4	3	4	3	4	3
28	4	4			4	4	4	4	4	4
			4	4		4	4	3	4	4
29	4	3	4	4	3 4	3	4	4	3	3
30	3	4	3	4		3	4	4	3	2
31	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4
32	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	2	4	2
33	2	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
34	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
35	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
36	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4
37	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	2	4	2
38	2	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
39	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
40	2	4	3	3	4		4	4	4	4
41	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
42	4	3	4	4	3		4	4	3	3
43	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
44	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	4
45	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	3	3	3
46	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	3
47	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
48	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4
49	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3		3
50	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
51	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	
52	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
53	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
54	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
56	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
57	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
58	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
59	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
60	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3

61	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	4	4	4
32	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
63	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
64	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
65	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
66	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
67	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
68	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
69	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
70	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
71	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
72	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
73	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
74	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
75	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
76	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
77	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
78	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
79	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
80	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
81	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
82	2	3	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	2
83	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
84	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
85	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
86	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
87	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
88	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
89	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
90		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
91	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
92	2			4	4	4	4	4	4	4
93	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
94	4	3	4		4	3	4	4	3	3
95	3	4	3	4		3	4	4	3	2
96	4	3	4	3	4		3	4	2	4
97	4	2	3	2	1	4				

98	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
99	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
100	2	4	- 3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
101	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
102	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
103				3	3	3	3	3	3	3
104	3	3	3		4	4	3	4	2	4
105	4	2	3	2	2	4	3	2	4	2
106	2	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
107	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
108	3	3	3	3		3	4	3	4	3
109	2	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
110	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
111	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3
112	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
113	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4
114	4	2	3	2	4		3	2	4	2
115	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	3	3
116	3	3	3	3	3	3		. 3	4	3
117	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
118	4	3	4	3	4	3		4	2	4
119	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4		
					T 75.05 T	06.13	87.82	83.82	80.46	76.26
AVG	80.25	77.10	79.20	78.57	76.05	86.13	07.02	03.02	551.5	



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

Mathal

Dr. Rais Mulla HOD COMP



Department of Computer Engineering


Name of Faculty: Prof. Manjiri Pathak Subject: DSGT SEM - III Academic Year: 2022-23

SN	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	were the	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tutor ial discussed with you?	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
1	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
5	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
6	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
7	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
9	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
10	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
11	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
12	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
13	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
14	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
16	2	4	3	3	4	3	. 4	3	4	3
17	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
18	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
19	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
20	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
21	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
22	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
23	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3

24	4	3	40	3		3	-@-	4	3	2
25	4		-4		4	4	3	4	2	4
	3	2	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	3
26		3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
27	2	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
28	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
29	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3
30	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
31	4	3	4	3		4	3	4	2	4
32	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	2	4	2
33	2	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
34	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
35	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
36	4	3	4	3	4		3	4	2	4
37	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	2	4	2
38	2	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	3
39	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3
40	2	4	3	3	4		4	4	4	4
41	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
42	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3
43	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
44	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	4
45	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	3	3	3
46	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	4	3
47	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
48	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
49	4	3	4	4	3	4	4		3	3
50	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
51	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4		4
52	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	2
53	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	3
54	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	+
56	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
57	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
58	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
59	3	3	- 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
60	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3

- T	120		T-0						1	1
61	4	4	4 -	4	4	4		4	4	.4
62	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3
63	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
64	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	4
65	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	3	2
66	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	4
67	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	2	4	2
68	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	3	3	3
69	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3
70	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
71	4	3	4	3	4	3	4		2	4
72	- 4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	3	4
73	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	4
74	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	4	2
75	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2		3
76	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
77	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4
78	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	3
79	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	2
80	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
81	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	2
82	2	3	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	3
83	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
84	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	
85	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
86	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
87	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
88	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
89	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
90		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
91	3		3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
92	2	4		4	4	4	4	4	4	4
93	4	4	4		3	4	4	3	4	4
94	4	3	4	4		3	4	4	3	3
95	3	4	3	4	4			4	3	2
96	4	3	4	3	4	3	4		2	4
97	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	-

98	2	3	2	4		4	-	2	4	1 2
99	3	3			2					2
			3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
100	2	4	- 3	3	4 .	3	4	3	4	3
101	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
102	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
103	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
104	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
105	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
106	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
107	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
108	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
109	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
110	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
111	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
112	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
113	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
114	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
115	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
116	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
117	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
AVG	79.91	77.35	79.06	78.85	76.28	86.11	87.82	83.55	80.77	76.28



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

Modhale

Dr. Rais Mulla HOD COMP



Department of Information Technology

SH-2022 Faculty Feedback Analysis I

Sr. No	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	I. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	well did the teachers prepare for the	3. How well were the teachers able to communic ate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described	5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performan ce in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course outcomes(CO	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and	9. Teacher use student centric, methods, such as experiential learning, participative	10. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDP/Multimedi	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
1	Prof. Siddharth Tapare	Maths	III(A)	22-23	90	90	85	82.5	87.5	87.5	85	72.5	82.5	82.5	84.5	Good
2	Dr Pradip Mane	DSA	III(A)	22-23	90	87.5	90	87.5	87.5	90	90	82.5	87.5	87.5	88	Good
3	Prof.Neeta Ingale	РСОМ	III(A)	22-23	85	87.5	82,5	82.5	87.5	82.5	90	85	90	87.5	86	Good
4	Prof.Pravin Shinde	PCPF	III(A)	22-23	87.5	87.5	85	85	85	85	87.5	82.5	82.5	82.5	85	Good
5	Prof. Vijaya Sagvekar	DBMS	III(A)	22-23	85	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	90	92.5	85	85	87.5	Good
6	Prof. Siddharth Tapare	Maths	III(B)	22-23	85	87.5	92.5	87.5	95	90	87.5	87.5	85	90	88.75	Good
7	Prof. Archana Salaskar	DSA	III(B)	22-23	85	85	87.5	85	82.5	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	86.5	Good
8	Prof. Viki Patil	РСОМ	III(B)	22-23	90	85	87.5	82.5	90	85	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	86.75	Good
9	Prof.Priyanka Manke	PCPF	III(B)	22-23	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	85	87.5	Good
10	Prof.Vijaya Sagvekar	DBMS	III(B)	22-23	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	85	87.5	Good
11	ProfMedha Kulkarni	1P	V(A)	22-23	90	90	85	82.5	87.5	87.5	85	72.5	82.5	82.5	84.5	Good
12	Dr.Seema Ladhe	CNS	V(A)	22-23	85	90	87.5	92.5	82.5	92.5	85	85	82.5	87.5	87	Good
13	Prof.Kirti Bhadange	EEB	V(A)	22-23	85	87.5	82.5	82.5	87.5	82.5	90	85	90	87.5	86	Good
14	Prof. Sachin Barahate	ADMT	V(A)	22-23	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	87.5	82.5	85	86.5	Good
15	ProfAshwini Phalke	SE	V(A)	22-23	85	82.5	85	82.5	85	90	85	87.5	87.5	85	85.5	Good
16	Prof.Manjunath Gawali	BCE II	V(A)	22-23	85	82.5	85	82.5	82.5	82.5	87.5	90	82.5	82.5	84.25	Good
17	Prof.Nilesh Mali	IP	V(B)	22-23	85	87.5	92.5	87.5	95	90	90	87.5	85	90	89	Good
18	Prof.Priyanka Manke	CNS	V(B)	22-23	85	85	87.5	85	82.5	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	86.5	Good
19	Prof.Kirtí Bhadange	EEB	V(B)	22-23	90	85	87.5	82.5	90	85	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	86.75	Good
20	Prof.Darshana Tambe	ADMT	V(B)	22-23	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	85	87.5	Good
21	Dr. Necraj Sharma	SE	V(B)	22-23	82.5	87.5	85	85	85	92.5	87.5	95	92.5	82.5	87.5	Good
22	Prof.Manjunath Gawali	BCE II	V(B)	22-23	85	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	90	92.5	85	82.5	87.25	Good
23	Prof.Pravin Patil	ADSA	V(B)	22-23	85	87.5	95	87.5	85	90	87.5	90	92.5	85	88.5	Good
24	Prof.Manisha Patil	AIDS II	VII(A)	22-23	85	82.5	82.5	80	82.5	82.5	82.5	72.5	82.5	82.5	81.5	Good
25	Prof.Kiran Deshmukh	IOE	VII(A)	22-23	90	87.5	90	87.5	87.5	90	90	82.5	87.5	87.5	88	Good
26	Prof. Vedika Avhad	STQA	VII(A)	_	85	87.5	82.5	82.5	87.5	82.5	90	85	90	87.5	86	Good

27	Prof.Vinod Sapkal	IRS	VII(A)	22-23	85	82.5	90	82.5	85	90	85	87.5	87.5	85	86	Good
28	Prof.Mayuri Tharval	CSL	VII(A)	22-23	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	85	92.5	92.5	90	88.5	Good
29	Prof.Manisha Patil	Alds II	VII(B)	22-23	90	90	85	82.5	87.5	87.5	85	72.5	82.5	82.5	84.5	Good
30	Prof.Sonali Pakhmode	IOE	VII(B)	22-23	85	87.5	90	90	90	87.5	87.5	90	90	90	88.75	Good
31	Prof.Vedika Avhad	STQA	VII(B)	22-23	87.5	90	85	87.5	85	90	85	90	87.5	87.5	87.5	Good
32	Dr. Gayatri Bachhav	IRS	VII(B)	22-23	82.5	87.5	85	85	85	92.5	87.5	85	87.5	82.5	86	Good
33	Prof.Mayuri Tharval	CSL	VII(B)	22-23	90	87.5	87.5	82.5	87.5	87.5	82.5	90	87.5	85	86.75	Good

HOD. IT



Department of Information Technology

SH-2022 Faculty Feedback Analysis II

Sr. No	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to communi cate?		5. Fairness of the internal evaluatio n process by the teachers.	6. Was your performanc e in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participativ	10. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
1	Prof. Siddharth Tapare	Maths	III(A)	22-23	90	92.5	90	90	90	87.5	85	90	87.5	87.5	89	Good
2	Dr Pradip Mane	DSA	III(A)	22-23	92.5	92.5	95	90	90	92.5	92.5	90	90	92.5	91.75	Good
3	Prof.Neeta Ingale	РСОМ	III(A)	22-23	90	90	90	87.5	90	90	90	87.5	92.5	87.5	89.5	Good
4	Prof.Pravin Shinde	PCPF	ПІ(А)	22-23	90	92.5	92.5	90	90	92.5	95	92.5	92.5	90	91.75	Good
5	Prof.Vijaya Sagvekar	DBMS	III(A)	22-23	92.5	95	97.5	90	92.5	90	90	90	92.5	92.5	92.25	Good
6	Prof. Siddharth Tapare	Maths	III(B)	22-23	85	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	85	82.5	82.5	82.5	87.5	85.25	Good
7	Prof. Archana Salaskar	DSA	III(B)	22-23	87.5	90	92.5	92.5	90	97.5	90	92.5	87.5	92.5	91.25	Good
8	Prof. Viki Patil	РСОМ	III(B)	22-23	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	87.5	87.5	90	85	87.5	85	87	Good
9	Prof.Priyanka Manke	PCPF	III(B)	22-23	92.5	92.5	95	92.5	95	97.5	95	92.5	92.5	95	94	Good
10	Prof. Vijaya Sagvekar	DBMS	III(B)	22-23	82.5	87.5	85	85	85	92.5	87.5	95	92.5	82.5	87.5	Good
11	ProfMedha Kulkarni	IP	V(A)	22-23	95	92.5	95	85	92.5	92.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	90.25	Good
12	Dr.Seema Ladhe	CNS	V(A)	22-23	90	87.5	90	87.5	87.5	90	90	82.5	87.5	87.5	88	Good
13	Prof.Kirti Bhadange	EEB	V(A)	22-23	90	90	87.5	87.5	92.5	87.5	90	90	92.5	90	89.75	Good
14	Prof. Sachin Barahate	ADMT	V(A)	22-23	90	90	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	92.5	87.5	90	82.5	88	Good
15	Prof. Ashwini Phalke	SE	V(A)	22-23	85	82.5	87.5	80	85	90	85	80	80	80	83.5	Good
16	Prof.Manjunath Gawali	BCE II	V(A)	22-23	87.5	82.5	85	82.5	87.5	82.5	87.5	90	90	92.5	86.75	Good
17	Prof.Nilesh Mali	IP	V(B)	22-23	85	82.5	82.5	87.5	82.5	90	87.5	87.5	85	85	85.5	Good
18	Prof.Priyanka Manke	CNS	V(B)	22-23	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	90	97.5	87.5	95	92.5	Good
19	Prof.Kirti Bhadange	EEB	V(B)	22-23	95	92.5	95	92.5	95	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	90	93	Good
20	Prof.Darshana Tambe	ADMT	V(B)	22-23	90	90	90	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	87.5	90	87.5	90.5	Good
21	Dr. Neeraj Sharma	SE	V(B)	22-23	92.5	87.5	90	87.5	87.5	87.5	87.5	85	85	82.5	87.25	Good
22	Prof.Manjunath Gawali	BCE II	V(B)	22-23	87.5	92.5	90	90	92.5	92.5	90	92.5	87.5	92.5	90.75	Good

23	Prof.Pravin Patil	ADSA	V(B)	22-23	90	90	97.5	90	90	95	90	92.5	92.5	90	91.75	Good
24	Prof.Manisha Patil	AIDS II	VII(A)	22-23	92.5	92.5	92.5	90	95	90	92.5	87.5	85	85	90.25	Good
25	Prof.Kiran Deshmukh	IOE	VII(A)	22-23	92.5	92.5	92.5	90	95	92.5	92.5	82.5	87.5	87.5	90.5	Good
26	Prof. Vedika Avhad	STQA	VII(A)	22-23	87.5	92.5	90	85	90	90	90	85	90	87.5	88.75	Good
27	Prof.Vinod Sapkal	IRS	VII(A)	22-23	85	87.5	87.5	90	87.5	92.5	90	90	90	87.5	88.75	Good
28	Prof.Mayuri Tharval	CSL	VII(A)	22-23	85	82.5	85	85	82.5	82.5	82.5	87.5	90	82.5	84.5	Good
29	Prof.Manisha Patil	AIDS II	VII(B)	22-23	92.5	92.5	85	90	95	92.5	85	85	85	92.5	89.5	Good
30	Prof.Sonali Pakhmode	IOE	VII(B)	22-23	82.5	85	85	82.5	85	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	86	Good
31	Prof.Vedika Avhad	STQA	VII(B)	22-23	90	85	87.5	82.5	90	85	87.5	87.5	85	87.5	86.75	Good
32	Dr. Gayatri Bachhav	IRS	VII(B)	22-23	82.5	90	85	85	87.5	92.5	90	95	95	87.5	89	Good
33	Prof.Mayuri Tharval	CSL	VII(B)	22-23	85	87.5	85	87.5	85	90	87.5	90	92.5	85	87.5	Good

HOD. IT



Department of Information Technology

FH-2023 Faculty Feedback Analysis I

Sr. No	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	2.6	well did the teacher s prepare	3. How well were the teachers able to communic ate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described	5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performan ce in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course outcomes(C	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through example s and	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative	10. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia , etc. while	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
1	Dr. Namdev More	MATHS	IV(A)	22-23	82.5	82.5	80	82.5	85	85	85	80	85	77.5	82.5	Good
2	Prof.Pravin Patil	os	IV(A)	22-23	62.5	85	82.5	82.5	87.5	80	82.5	75	82.5	80	80	Good
3	Dr.Seema Ladhe	CCND	IV(A)	22-23	72.5	90	90	87.5	90	90	90	87.5	87.5	90	87.5	Good
4	Prof.Nilesh Mali	AT	IV(A)	22-23	75	95	97.5	97.5	97.5	97.5	97.5	85	97.5	97.5	93.75	Good
5	Prof.Neeta Ingale	COA	IV(A)	22-23	72.5	85	87.5	85	90	85	85	80	85	85	84	Good
6	Dr. Namdev More	MATHS	IV(B)	22-23	70	77.5	75	72.5	82.5	77.5	77.5	67.5	77.5	75	75.25	Good
7	Prof.Priyanka Manke	os	IV(B)	22-23	70	85	90	85	90	87.5	90	77.5	90	85	85	Good
8	Dr. Pravin Shinde	CCND	IV(B)	22-23	72.5	85	90	82.5	90	82.5	90	92.5	87.5	87.5	86	Good
9	Prof.Nilesh Mali	AT	IV(B)	22-23	85	87.5	87.5	85	90	87.5	87.5	75	87.5	85	85.75	Good
10	Prof.Sonali Pakhmode	COA	IV(B)	22-23	65	77.5	80	72.5	80	75	77.5	60	72.5	75	73.5	Good
11	Prof. Darshana Gajbhiye	DMBI	VI(A)	22-23	93.75	94.25	93.25	93.75	95.75	95	95	95	95.5	95	94.63	Good
12	Prof. Medha Kulkarni	WEB X	VI(A)	22-23	88.5	86	88	83.75	85.5	87	89.5	84.25	86	84.5	86.3	Good
13	Prof. Ashwini Phalke	WT	VI(A)	22-23	91.25	89.5	90.75	88	90	88.75	90.5	88	87.5	88.25	89.25	Good
14	Prof. Archana Salaskar	AIDS I	VI(A)	22-23	92.75	93.75	95	94.5	95	94	95	94	93.75	94.5	94.23	Good
15	Dr. Gaytri Bachhav	EHF	VI(A)	22-23	90.5	88.75	92	92.5	86.75	87	87	87	88.25	85	88.48	Good
16	Prof. Darshana Gajbhiye	DMBI	VI(B)	22-23	90.75	87.5	88.5	86.5	89.5	87.5	90.5	88	90	91.25	89	Good
17	Prof. Manisha Patil	WEB X	VI(B)	22-23	88.5	87	88	89.5	85.5	87	89.5	85.5	87	84.5	87.2	Good
18	Prof. Ashwini Phalke	WT	VI(B)	22-23	89	87	89	85	88	87	86.5	87	86.5	84.25	86.93	Good
19	Prof. Archana Salaskar	AIDS I	VI(B)	22-23	90	91.25	91.75	89	93.25	90.75	92.25	92.75	90.5	90.75	91.23	Good
20	Dr. Gaytri Bacchav	EHF	VI(B)	22-23	90	89.5	90	89.5	82.75	78.25	84.5	76	81.75	78.25	84.05	Good
21		BDA	VIII(A)	22-23	82.75 .	82.75	85	83.75	86.25	80.75	85	83.5	85.75	83.5	83.9	Good
22		BC	VIII(A)	22-23	87.75	87.75	85.75	87.25	88	85.75	84.25	85.75	87.25	87.25	86.68	Good

23	Prof. Vijaya Sagvekar	PM	VIII(A	22-23	85.5	85.75	85.75	83.75	86	84.5	86.25	84	86	87.25	85.48	Good
24	Prof. Kiran Deshmukh	CCS	VIII(A	22-23	81.5	83	89	90.25	91.25	81.5	88.5	81.25	89	82	85.73	Good
25	Prof. Sachin Barahate	BDA	VIII(B)	22-23	88	89.25	89.75	89.75	90.75	87.5	93	89	89.25	87.5	89.38	Good
26	Prof. Vedika Avhad	BC	VIII(B)	22-23	87	90.75	90.75	89.25	92.25	88.5	89.75	91.75	91.75	92.25	90.4	Good
27	Dr. Neeraj Sharma	PM	VIII(B)	22-23	86	89.75	89.75	85.75	89.25	89.25	88.5	89	86.5	89.75	88.35	Good
28	Prof.Vinod Sapkal	UID	VIII(B)	22-23	87.25	89.25	89	88	88	86.5	86.25	86.5	86	85.75	87.25	Good

HOD. IT



Department of Information Technology

FH-2023 Faculty Feedback Analysis II

Sr. No	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	I. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to communi cate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be describe	of the internal	6. Was your performanc e in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course outcomes(CO)	8. The teacher s illustrat e the concept s throug	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative	10. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/Multimedia, etc.	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
1	Dr. Namdev More	MATHS	IV(A)	22-23	90	87.5	87.5	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	89.5	Good
2	Prof.Pravin Patil	os	IV(A)	22-23	92.5	87.5	87.5	90	92.5	87.5	90	87.5	87.5	90	89.25	Good
3	Dr.Seema Ladhe	CCND	IV(A)	22-23	75	90	90	87.5	87.5	87.5	90	85	90	90	87.25	Good
4	Prof.Nilesh Mali	AT	IV(A)	22-23	72.5	95	95	97.5	97.5	97.5	95	85	95	95	92.5	Good
5	Prof.Neeta Ingale	COA	IV(A)	22-23	87.5	90	90	92.5	95	87.5	90	85	87.5	92.5	89.75	Good
6	Dr. Namdev More	MATHS	IV(B)	22-23	87.5	87.5	90	82.5	90	85	85	77.5	80	82.5	84.75	Good
7	Prof.Priyanka Manke	os	IV(B)	22-23	72.5	87.5	90	87.5	92.5	90	92.5	80	90	87.5	87	Good
8	Dr. Pravin Shinde	CCND	IV(B)	22-23	85	90	92.5	85	90	85	92.5	92.5	90	87.5	89	Good
9	Prof.Nilesh Mali	AT	IV(B)	22-23	87.5	90	87.5	85	90	90	90	87.5	87.5	92.5	88.75	Good
10	Prof.Sonali Pakhmode	COA	IV(B)	22-23	80	87.5	87.5	85	92.5	82.5	85	80	72.5	80	83.25	Good
11	Prof. Darshana Gajbhiye	DMBI	VI(A)	22-23	92	94.25	95	93.75	95.75	93.25	95	91.25	95.5	95	94.08	Good
12	Prof. Medha Kulkarni	WEB X	VI(A)	22-23	90.5	91.75	93.25	91.25	88.5	92.75	95.25	91.75	90	91.25	91.63	Good
13	Prof. Ashwini Phalke	WT	VI(A)	22-23	83.25	89.25	85.5	85.5	85.5	81.75	81.25	83.75	83	83.25	84.2	Good
14	Prof. Archana Salaskar	AIDS I	VI(A)	22-23	93	93.75	95	94.5	95	94.25	95	94.25	93.75	94.5	94.3	Good
15	Dr. Gaytri Bachhav	EHF	VI(A)	22-23	85.5	90	90.5	83	90.5	88.75	85	91.75	87	81.25	87.33	Good
16	Prof. Darshana Gajbhiye	DMBI	VI(B)	22-23	85.5	84.5	88.5	83.25	87.5	87.5	82.75	88	86	87	86.05	Good
17	Prof. Manisha Patil	WEB X	VI(B)	22-23	88.5	86	88	83.75	85.5	87	89.5	84.25	86	84.5	86.3	Good
18	Prof. Ashwini Phalke	WT	VI(B)	22-23	79.25	80.75	84.25	78.75	81.75	81.75	84.5	81.25	82.25	80.75	81.53	Good
19	Prof. Archana Salaskar	AIDS I	VI(B)	22-23	83.75	91.25	80.75	84.25	87	87.5	85.5	90.5	88.5	84.5	86.35	Good
20	Dr. Gaytri Bacchav	EHF	VI(B)	22-23	89.5	88.5	90	87.5	88.5	88.5	90.5	87.5	89.5	89	88.9	Good
21	Dr. Pradip Mane	BDA	VIII(A)	22-23	90	90.75	87.25	90.5	88.5	88.75	87.75	88.5	88	90.75	89.08	Good
22	Prof. Vedika Avhad	BC	VIII(A)	22-23	90.5	92.75	93.75	91.5	91.25	90.5	92.75	87.75	93.5	90.75	91.5	Good

Prof Vijava Sagvekar	РМ	VIII(A)	22-23	86.25	87.25	89.5	90.25	87	88.75	91	88.25	91	89.25	88.85	Good
			1-			91.25	90.75	92.75	85.5	90.75	86.5	91.25	89.25	88.95	Good
	-	- ' '	W. Str. Marketon	25.5		91.75	90.75	91.75	89.75	93	91.25	91.75	93	90.98	Good
		- Colore	-		83.75	85.25	83.75	89.25	81.5	85.75	84.75	88	85.75	84.6	Good
54-5 - 826-25-7	777.4				1000000		85.25	88.5	87	87	87	84.25	87.5	86.68	Good
Prof.Vinod Sapkal	UID			92	90.5	93.75	94.25	92	90.75	92	91.5	91.5	92	92.03	Good
	rof. Vijaya Sagvekar rof. Kiran Deshmukh rof. Sachin Barahate rof. Vedika Avhad Dr. Neeraj Sharma	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS rof. Sachin Barahate BDA rof. Vedika Avhad BC rof. Neeraj Sharma PM	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) Or. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B)	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 or. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 Prof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 Dr. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 Prof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 Dr. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 rof. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 rof. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 89.25 rof. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25 88.5	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 85.5 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 89.75 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 89.25 81.5 pr. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25 88.5 87	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 85.5 90.75 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 89.75 93 Prof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 89.25 81.5 85.75 Prof. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25 88.5 87 87	rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 85.5 90.75 86.5 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 89.75 93 91.25 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 89.25 81.5 85.75 84.75 pr. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25 88.5 87 87 87	rof. Vijaya Sagvekar PM VIII(A) 22-23 86.25 87.25 89.3 90.25 87.5 89.5 90.75 85.5 90.75 86.5 91.25 rof. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 85.5 90.75 86.5 91.25 rof. Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 89.75 93 91.25 91.75 rof. Vedika Avhad BC VIII(B) 22-23 78.25 83.75 85.25 83.75 89.25 81.5 85.75 84.75 88 Or. Neeraj Sharma PM VIII(B) 22-23 84.25 87.5 88.5 85.25 88.5 87 87 87 87 84.25	rof. Vijaya Sagvekar PM VIII(A) 22-23 86.25 87.25 89.5 90.25 87.5 89.5 90.25 87.5 80.13 91.25 90.75 86.5 91.25 89.25 89.25 89.25 80.75 Sachin Barahate BDA VIII(B) 22-23 86.5 90.25 91.75 90.75 91.75 89.75 93 91.25 91.75 93 86.75 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 8	rof. Vijaya Sagvekar PM VIII(A) 22-23 86.25 87.25 89.5 90.25 87 88.75 91 68.25 91.25 89.25 88.95 90.75 86.5 91.25 89.25 88.95 90.76. Kiran Deshmukh CCS VIII(A) 22-23 83 88.5 91.25 90.75 92.75 85.5 90.75 86.5 91.25 89.25 88.95 90.98 90

HOD. IT



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year : 2022-23 (FH-23)

|--|

-	3.5 3.0 3.5	3.4
	3.5 3.4 3.6 3.5 3.5	
Average	3.4 3.5 3.4	
Feedback I	Creates an interactive and enjoyable learning experience.	
Remark I	Creates an interactive and only	

		4 36 3.6	3.6 3.5	3.5 3.7
Average 3.5	3.6 3.5 3.	3.6		
Feedback II Conne	ect with every student, u	rging them to pose qu	uestions.	

Faculty Sign



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year: 2022-23 (FH-23)

N CDle D	of Milach	Mali		Subject	t: AT			SEM - IV	DIV: A
Name of Faculty: P Sr.No I. How much of the syllabu s was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare	3. How we'll were the teachers able to commun icate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. Fairnes s of the internal evaluati on process	6. Was your performan ce in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	discussed about course outcomes(the concepts through examples and applicatio ns.	experientia I learning, participati ve learning	

							1 22	21	3.9	3.9
	1 20	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.7	
Average	3.0	3.0	D.12			3.7		1.12		
Feedback I	0				asing the		questions			
Remark I	Engage	with eve	ry studer	it, motiv	ating the	in to post	e questions			

					1 20	2.0	3.8	3.4	3.8	3.8
Average	2.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.0			
7					1	2.7	nderstandi	ng of conc	epts.	
Remark II	Presenti	ng topics	with PP	Is great	iy ennan	ces om m	nderstandi			

Faculty Sign

HOD. IT Dr. Pradip Mane



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year: 2022-23 (FH-23)

Name of Fac	ulter . Der	C Doroho	na Gaib	hive	S	ubject : DN	1B1		SEM - VI	
Name of Fac	I. How much of the syllabu s was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to commun icate?	4. The teacher's approach to	5. Pairnes s of the internal evaluati on	6. Was your performan ce in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you?	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applicatio ns.	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experientia I learning, participati ve learning and problem solving methodolo gies for enhancing learning experience s.	of usage of ICT tools such as

Average	3.75	3.77	3.73	3.75	3.83	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.82	3.80
Feedback I						3.8				
Remark I	Clarific	d our cor	ncepts an	d made :	DMBI m	ore intere	sting and	casy to gra	isp.	

Average	3.68	3.77	3.80	3.75	3.83	3.73	3.80	3.65	3.82	3.80
Feedback II						3.8				
Remark II	Overall	teaching	is excell	ent.						

Faculty Sign

HOD. IT Dr. Pradip Mane



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year: 2022-23 (FH-23)

	14 D	C Dorebe	na Gaibl	hive	S	ubject : DM	IBI		SEM - VI	DIA: R
Name of Fa Sr.No	l. How much of the syllabu s was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to commun icate?	4. The teacher's approac h to teaching	5. Fairnes s of the internal evaluati on	6. Was your performan	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applicatio ns.	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experientia I learning, participati ve learning and problem solving methodolo gies for enhancing learning experience s.	of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF Multimed a, etc.

	262	3.50	3.54	3.46	3.58	3.50	3.62	3.52	3.60	3.65
Average Feedback I	3.63					3.6		understan	ds better.	
Remark I	Provide	more de	tails whe	n explai	ning to r	nake sure	everyone	understan	ds better.	

							2.21	3.52	3.44	3.48
Arraman	3.42	3.38	3.54	3.33	3.50	3.50	3.31	3.32	3.44	
Average Feedback II				-		3.4				
Remark II	Overall	teaching	is good.			-				

Faculty Sign

HOD, IT Dr. Pradip Mane



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year: 2022-23 (SH-22)

Name of F	aculty : Pro	of. Nilesh	Mali		Subjec	t : IP			SEM - VI	DIV: B
St.No	i. How much of the syllabu s was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to commun scate?	4. The teacher's approuch to teaching can best be described as	internal	performan ce in assignment s/tutorial discussed with you!	7. During subject orientation whether leacher discussed about course outcomes(CO) and programm e outcomes (PO).	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experientia I learning, participati ve learning and problem solving methodolo gies for enhancing learning experience	Percentage of usage o ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc.

Average	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6
Feedback I						3.5				-
Remark I	Creates	an intera	ctive and	enjoyal	ole learn	ing experi	ience.			

Average	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4
Feedback II						3.4				
Remark II	The tead	hing in p	eneral is	satisfac	tory.					

Faculty Sign

HOD. IT Dr. Pradip Mane



Department of Information Technology

Academic Year: 2022-23 (SH-22)

Name of I	aculty : Pro	f. Darsha	na Tamb	e	Subjec	t: ADMT			SEM - VI	DIV: B
Sr.No	I. How much of the syllabu s was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to commun icate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be describe d as	internal evaluati on process by the	performan	7. During subject orientation whether teacher discussed about course outcomes (CO) and programm e outcomes (PO).	8. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applicatio ns.	9. Teacher use student centric methods, such as experientia 1 learning, participati ve learning and problem solving methodolo gies for enhancing learning experience	Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimed a, etc. while teaching

Average	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.4
Feedback I	3.5									
Remark I	No suggestions									

Average	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5	
Feedback II	3.6										
Remark II	We receive clear answers for every concept. No improvements are needed.										

Faculty Sign

HOD. IT



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

SN	Faculty Name	Subject	Sem	Year	1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the feachers prepare for the classes?		4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	S. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tu torial discussed with you?	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E-books etc.	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
	100			2	022-	2023	3 (Mi	id Ter	m Fe	edbac	k) FH	2023			THE .	
1	DR. Alam N. Shaikh	EM	vui	2022-23	82.45	78,72	75,5	79.26	81	88.30	83.51	80,85	80.85	81.38	81.17	Good
2	Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe	AOA	iv	2022-23	81.88	77.54	80.1	80.07	79	81.88	77.90	84.42	80.80	80.07	80.36	Good
3	Dr Savarna R. Bhis-	COA	VI	2022-23	76.25	77.50	77.5	80.00	76	81.25	85.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	79.38	Good
4	Prof. Rajesh B Khetre	OCN	vin	2022-23	78.72	76.60	78.2	78.72	80	85.64	86.70	83.51	79,79	79.26	80.69	Good
5	Prof. Rajesh B. Morey	MP	iv	2022-23	77.17	81.52	78.6	84,78	78	78.62	77.17	81.16	77.54	77.17	79.17	Good
6	Prof. K. Szálakshná Parvathi	EMA	VI	2022-23	81.25	78.13	80.08	80.86	80	81.64	84.38	84.38	81.64	80,86	81.33	Good
		os	iv	1	80.80	78.62	80.43	80.07	75.36	84.42	82.61	82.61	80.80	78	80.40	Good
7	Prof. Gitanjali Sachin Korgaonkar	S & NSC	VIII	2022-23	78,57	82.14	79.76	78.57	80,95	85,71	84.52	83.33	83.33	78.57	81.55	Good
		IPMV	VI	on and and a	78.91	80.08	78.91	79.30	80,86	86.72	87.11	84.38	81.64	79.3	81.72	Good
*	Prof. Anagha S. Dhavzlikar	ANN & FL	vi	2022-23	78.91	75.78	77.3	78.13	75	87,11	86,72	82,42	80,47	76,17	79.84	Good
9	Prof. Smitha N.	EM-IV	IV	2022-23	80.43	78.26	79.7	80.07	77	86.96	87.68	84.42	80.43	77.54	81.23	Good
10	Prof. Viki Patil	WD	VIII		77.13	78.19	78.19	79.79	76.06	83.51	85.64	81.38	80.32	75.5	79.57	Good
	_	DBMS	iv	2022-23	80,80	78.62	79.71	80.80	75.36	78.62	77.90	84.78	81	75.36	79.31	Good
11	Prof. Shrusici Jadhav	DBMS	VI	2022-23	80.11	75.57	77.84	76.14	77.84	86.36	86,36	82.39	78.41	75.57	79.66	Good
12	Prof. Shridhar Desai	AR & VP.	VIII	2022-23	76.92	74.04	76.9	75.00	73	81.73	91.35	80,77	75.96	76.92	78.75	Good
13	Prof. Seema Prashant Pawar	CCN	VI	2022-23	78.52	78.91	78.13	78.13	77.73	83.20	78.52	80.08	75.39	81.64	79.02	Good

				20)22-2	2023	(Enc	d Ter	m Fee	dbac	k) FH	2023	3,7	1. 4		
1	DR. Alam N. Shaikh	EM	VIII	2022-23	82.98	79.79	78.19	80.32	80.9	\$5.6	84.04	82.45	82.45	82.45	81.91	Good
T	Or Pramod Bhavanhe	AOA	īv	2022-23	81.88	77.54	80.1	80.07	79	81.88	77.90	84.42	80.80	80.07	80.36	Good
1	Dr Suvama R. Blase	COA	VI	2022-23	81,3	77.50	77.50	80,00	78.75	81.3	85.00	80.00	80,00	80 00	80.13	Good
1	Prof. Rajesh B.Khotre	OCN	vin	2022-23	77.13	76.6	78.72	77.13	77,66	86.70	86.17	84.57	79,79	77.13	80.16	Good
1	Prof. Rajesh B. Morey	MP	iv	2022-23	77.17	\$1.52	78.62	84.78	77.90	78.62	77.17	81.16	77.54	77.17	79.17	Good
	Dr. K. Sailakshmi Parvathi	EMA	vi	THE STATE OF	81.25	79.30	80.08	80.85	80	81.64	84.38	84.38	81,64	80.86	B1.45	Good
	V	os	iv	2022-23	80,80	78.62	79.71	80,07	76.45	84.42	83.33	83.33	80.43	78.26	80.54	Good
I	Prof. Granjali Sachin Korgannki	S & NSC	viii	1 50	82.14	79.76	79.76	80,95	82.14	83,33	82.14	82.14	83,33	80.95	81.67	Good
ē,	2200	PMV	VI	2022-23	78.91	80.03	78.91	79.30	82	86.72	87.11	84.38	81,64	80.47	81.95	Good
2	Prof. Anagha S. Dhavalikar	ANN & FL	VI	2022-23	78.91	75.78	77.34	75.13	75.39	87,11	86.72	82.42	80,47	76.95	79.92	Good
Ž,	Prof. Smitha N	EMAY	ıv	2622-23	80.43	78.26	79.71	80.07	77,90	86.96	86.23	84.42	80.43	77.54	81.20	Good
)	Prof Viki Patil	WD	VIII	Ø	78.72	78.19	78.72	78.72	77.13	81,91	\$0.85	79.79	78.72	77.66	79.04	Good
	413	DBMS	ίΛ	2022-23	80,80	78.6	79.71	80.80	75.00	78.62	77.90	84,78	81.16	75.00	79.24	Good
1	Prof. Shrushti Jadhav	- DBMS	VI	2022-23	80,11	75.6	77.8	76.14	77.84	86.36	86.36	82.39	78.41	75.57	79.66	Good
2	Prof. Shridhar Desai	> AR & VR	viii	2022-23	77.88	78.85	76.92	77,88	77.9	77,83	79,81	79.81	78.85	78.8	78.46	Good
13	Prof. Souma Prashant Pawar	CCN	VI	2022-23	80,47	78,91	78,91	79.30	50.47	80,08	81.25	81.25	79.30	79.30	79.92	Good

Dr. Pramod Ropyarthe
Maticial final leftle & Date Seance,
Vasandada Patil Pratishthan's
College of Engineering & Visual Arts,
Sion, Mumbai - 400022.




VASANTDAL PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS Department of Electronics and Telecommunication

Name of Faculty: Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar Subject: ANN & FL SEM - VI Academic Year: 2022-23 (FB 1)

SN	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	6. Was your performance in assignments/tutor ial discussed with you?	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc., while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	10. Effectiveness of
1	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	
2	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
3	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
5	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
6	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	
7	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	2
8	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4		4
9	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	3	2
10	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	2	4
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
12	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3		3
13	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3
14	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	2
15	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
16	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
17	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3		3
18	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3
19	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	2
20	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2		4
21	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
22	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	
23	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
24	2	3	2	4 .	2	4	3	2	4	2
25	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	
26	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
27	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3
28	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	2
29	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
30	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
31	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
32	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	
33	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
34	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	
35	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
36	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
37	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
38	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4

39	3	4	3	4	S 4	3	4	4	3	3
40	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
41	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
42	2	1 3	2	4	2	4	M/ 3	2	4	2
43	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
44	2	4	3	3	4	3	91 4	3	4	3
45	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
46	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
47	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
48	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
49	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
50	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
51	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
52	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
53	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
54	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
55	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
55	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
57	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
58	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
59	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
60	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
61	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
62	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
63	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
64	2	3	2	4	4	4	3	2	4	2
AVG	78.91	75.78	77.34	78.13	75.39	87.11	86.72	82.42	80.47	76.17



Less than 2.0 (50%)	
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

HOD. ELECTION ATT COMMUNICATION Padmathus Promod Athayarthe ering HOD, DepsionAthamathagti




VASANTDAD PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication

Name of Faculty: Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar Subject: ANN & FL SEM - VI Academic Year: 2022-23 (FB 2)

SN	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.		7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching	9. The overall quality of teaching- learning process of teacher is	10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
	. 5				199		100			
1	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
2	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
3	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
5	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
6	4	3 .	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
7	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
8	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
9	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
10	2	3	2	1 4	2	4	3	2	4	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
13	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
14	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
15	2	3	2	4	2	4	- 3	2	4	2
16	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
17	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
18	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
19	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
20	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
21	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
22	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
23	4	4	4	4 .	4	4	4	4	4	4
24	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
25	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
26	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
27	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
28	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
29	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
30	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
31	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
32	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
33	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
33	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
35 36	3 2	1 - 3 -	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3

37	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
38	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
39	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
40	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
41	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
42	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
43	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
44	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
45	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
46	4	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4
47	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
48	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
49	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
50	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
51	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
52	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
53	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
54	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
55	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
56	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
57	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
58	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
59	2	3	2.	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
60	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
61	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
62	4	3	4	3	4	3	- 4	4	3	4
63	4	2	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
64	2	3	2	4	4	4	3	2	4	2
AVG	78.91	75.78	77.34	78.13	75.39	87.11	86.72	82.42	80.47	76.95



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good, faculty is motivated & Appreciated

HOD. ELECTRO DE COMMUNICATION Padmabhus.

Die Bramod Bhavarthe Pratishthan's HOD, Destinochinabharithe MUMBAI - 400 022



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

SN	Faculty Name	Subject	Sen	Venr	of the syllabor	the trackers	were the teachers able	4. The tencher's approach to teaching can best be described as	internal	6. Was year performance in moignments be torist discussed with you?	7. The seachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	unage of ICT took such as PPT-PDF/ Muttimedia, etc. while tracking	9. The overall quality of teaching- tearning process of teacher is	10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teacher like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.	AVERAGE FEEDBACK	Feedback Remark
					2022-	-2023	(Mi	d Ter	m Fee	edbac	k) SI	H-2022	2			
1	Dr. Fransod Bluverste	DSGT	ш	1022-23	81.52	76:09	80.07	80.07	71.99	81.52	78.62	\$4.42	80.80	78.62	80.07	Good
2	Dr Savarna R. Blise	MCS	VII	2022-23	71.72	77.13	78.19	77.13	76.06	12.98	81,68	78.72	79.19	29,79	79.15	Good
3	Prof. Rajesh B.Khotre	DECA	m	2022-23	90.4	79.62	76,99	79.7	77.17	12,97	83.25	82.25	79.7	78.26	80.04	Good
		рсом	V		79.13	T5.39	76.95	79.99	91.00	86.72	86.72	81.64	79.10	75,78	81.83	Good
5	Prof. Rajesh B. Morey	5 G	VIII	2022-23	80.00	81.67	80.0	79.33	78.	13.33	83.33	81.67	89.33	25.00	82.00	Good
		car			10.3	10.32	80.32	79,79	81.38	84.04	84,57	84.04	81.9	89.32	\$1.70	Good
5	Prof. K. Sailakshmi Parvedsi	ME	vn	2022-23	79.79	77.13	79.72	78.72	78.19	11,91	86,79	\$3.51	80.85	78.72	80.43	Good
		DIPTV	vı		76.79	78.6	76.79	12.14	79.57	78.57	\$7.50	80.36	81.93	78.57	80.18	Good
6	Prof. Gitanjali Sachin Korgaunkur	ICE	VII	3022-23	79.17	78.33	79.17	79.17	80.00	83.13	84.67	1333	81.67	78.33	90.92	Good
7	Prof. Anagha S. Dhannikar	DTSP	V	2022-23	79.03	76.21	77.82	78.23	75,00	86.69	87.10	12.66	90.65	76.21	75.96	Good
		DVESI			81.06	79.0	NO.65	10.65	77.02	65.67	\$2.66	14.68	\$1.85	75.40	80.69	Good
	ProElfernha Sauap	RSA	Y	2022-29	81.05	77,82	79.84	10.6	75.00	87.50	88.71	13.87	\$1.95	77.82	81.41	Good
		IT Infra & Security			76.25	17.5	76.3	#1.25	76.25	86.25	87.56	10.00	82.50	80.00	80.38	Good
3	Prof. Smitha N	EM-III	111	2022-23	80.07	78.26	79.71	79.71	77.17	82.25	83.33	\$1.16	80.07	76.81	79.86	
10	Prof. Shrushti Judhav	DS	III	2022-23	50.80	78.62	79.71	80.80	77.17	78.02	77.90	12.97	81,16	77.54	79.51	Good
11	Prof. Visi Pusi	CCAS	VII	2022-23	79:79	79.26	79.79	78.19	76.06	\$1.51	78.19	79,79	8(.9)	78.19	79.31	Good
12	The state of the s	CG	III	2022-23	77.17	80.80	76.62	84.06	76	76.45	76.81	78.62	77.17	76.09	79.41	Good
13	Prof Nilest Maii	DSA.	V	2022-23	77.17	10.3	78.26	74.26	76.09	83.70	80.43	80.43	1717211	77.57	74/41	Good

w																	
	1 10 0	A ST			2	2022	-202	3 (E1	nd Te	rm Fe	edba	ck) S	H-20	22			130 18
100	Dr. Pramod Bhawarthe	DSGT		III 2022-2		80.80	76.62	81.52		_	_	,					
1	Dr Suverse R. Bhise	MCS		VII 2022.2			19,00	91.54	11.16	78.26	83.70	78.99	83.70	83.33	78.99	90.93	Goo
2	Prof. Rajesh B. Khister	1057	-			80.32	78.72	78.10	77.66	78.19	85.11	83.51	79.70	79.1	78.19		Goo
				III 202	2-23	80.42	76.81	79.15	81.16	200				175.8	78.19	79.95	
4		DCOM		V	- 1	77.34	75,71	77.34	78.53	77.90	86.79	.77,17	86.23	19.33	78.62	80.76	600
	Prof. Rajosh B. Morey	3 G	1	11 2023	-23	85.00	79.33	81.67	1000	1000	88.28	85,94	R2,03	79:30	75.71	79.61	Goo
_		CSL			-	80.65	81.38	90.85	\$0.00	78.33	31.67	81.67	83.33	83.33	R1.67	81.50	Guo
	Dr. K. Sallskohni Parvati	ME	1.	n	-		41.09	99.83	11.38	80.32	84,04	84.04	12.98	80.32	81.91	81.81	Good
		mac.	1	2022-23		78.72	71.7	77.66	78.72	77.13	#±.98	12.45	83.51	-		1000	Good
		DIPTY	V			X1.93	80.4	80.36	76.79	78.57	76.79			77.66	71.72	79.63	1000
	Frof. Gitanjali Section Korusooloor	ICE	VI	2022-23	-	79.17	81.7			1447	76.19	76.79	80.36	10.36	83.93	71.82	Good
-	Prof. Anapte 5. Dhavatikar	-	-				94.4	79.17	79.17	80.00	87.10	82.50	B1.67	80.83	78.33	80.50	
	The state of the s	OTSP	V	2023-1	3	79.0	76:21	77.82	78.23	75.00	86.69	-				20.50	Good
	I I I	DVLSI			-	\$1.05	79.03			1,000	10,09	87.10	E2.66	80.03	76.21	79.96	Good
	Prof.Harsha Sanap	RSA	-			1000	77.03	80.65	90.65	76.21	83.87	85.08	\$4.68	81.85	75.40	80.85	
			I v	2022-2		80.47	71.13	89.08	79.30	77.3	132	1125				80.85	Good
I		IT Info & Security				12.50	76.25	78.75	83.75	78.75	82.50		90.47	80.96	79.13	79.92	Good
	Prof. Smitha N.	EM-III	m	2022-23		80.8	78.3	-			86.50	83.75	83.75	81.25	78.75	81.00	Good
1	Prof. Shrushii Jadlav	DS	307	2022-23	_	80.80		79,71	80.43	77.17	85.51	86.23	83.70	80.80	77.54		
1	Prof. Visi Paril	CC & S	VII	2022-23			77.9	79.35	78.3	76.26	8042	77.54	79.35	78.26	100	81.01	Good
t		co				76.60	75.53	79.26	79.3	76.06	78.72	76.06	79.26		77.54	79.17	Good
Ļ			111	2022-23	,	77.90	77,17	77.90	77.54	78.62	13.33	77,12		78.72	76.06	77.55	Good
Ĺ	The second second	05A	V	2022-23	0	10.43	76.09	79.35	77.17	76,09	100000		81.88	25 97	78.26	79.57	Good
										12.00	12.61	R5,87	82.6	90.43	75.00	79.57	Good

Dr. Pramodificial arthe
Hantificial intelligence & Pale Science,
Vasandada Patil Pratishthan's
College of Engineering & Visual Arts,
Sion, Mumbai - 400022.



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS


Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Name of Faculty: Prof. Rajesh B.Khotre Subject: DLCA SEM - III Academic Year: 2022-23 (FB 1)

	Nam	o of Family	. Prof Do	iesh R Khot	re Subie	ct : DLCA	SEM - III	Academic Y	ear: 2022-25	(101)
SN	1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?			4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	5. fairness of the internal evaluation	6. Was your performance in	7. The teachers illustrate the concepts through	8. Percentage of usage of ICT tools such as PPT/PDF/ Multimedia, etc. while teaching		10. Effectiveness of study material provided by Teache like PPT/Notes/E- books etc.
4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
-	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
2	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
3	2	3	2	4	2	4	2	2	4	2
4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
5	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
7	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
8	.4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
9	3	4	3	4	4	3	2	4	3	3
10	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
11	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
12	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	2
13	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
15	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
16	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
17	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
18	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
19	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
20	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2
21	4	2	3	2	1	4	3	4	2	4
22	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
23	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
24	4.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
25	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
6	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3
27	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3

28	4	2	3	2	1	4		-		
29	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	34	2	1
30	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	2	4	2
31	_ 2	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3
32	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
33	4	2	3	2	1	3	4	4	3	2
34	4	3	2	3		4	3	4	2	4
35	4	2	3	2	4	3	4	4	2	2
36	2	3	2	4	1	4	3	4	2	4
37	2	2	3	2	2	4	2	2	4	2
38	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	4
39	2	4	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
40	2	4	4		4	3	4	3	4	3
41	4	3	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	1
42	3	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	4	4
43	4	3	4		2	3	4	2	3	3
44	4	2	3	3	4	3	2	2	3	2
45	3	3	3		1	4	3	4	2	4
46	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
47	4	4	4		4	3	4	3	4	3
48	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4
49	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	4
50	4	3	4	4	4	2	2	4	3	3
51	4	2	3	3 2	4	3	4	4	3	4
52	2	3	2		2	4	3	4	2	4
53	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	2	4	2
54	2	4		3	3	3	3	3	1	3
55	4		3	2	4	3	4	3	4	3
56	4	3	4	3	4	2	2	1	3	4
57			3	2	3	4	3	4	2	4
58	2	3	2	2	3	4	3	2	4	2
_	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	4
59	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
60	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	4	4
61	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4
62	3	4	3	4	4	2	4	2	3	3
63	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	4	4
64	3	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	3

66	4	3	4	3	4	2	4	3	4	3
67	4	2	3	2	4	3	4	4	3	2
68	2	3	2	1	1	4	3	4	2	4
69	3	3	3	4	2	2	3	2	4	4
			-	3	3	3	3	3	3	4



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good , faculty is motivated & Appreciated

(RO. Khotre)

College of Engineering & Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai - 400022



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

performance in

7. The teachers

illustrate the

Academic Year : 2022-23 (FB 2)

8. Percentage of

usage of ICT tools

9. The overall

quality of teaching

10. Effectiveness of

 How much of the syllabus was the teachers

2. How well did 3. How well the teachers were the study material provided by Teache were the teachers able to approach to the internal teaching can best evaluation such as PPT/PDF/ tearning process of prepare for the classes? concepts through covered in the class? teacher is like PPT/Notes/E-Multimedia, etc. SN xamples and communicate? be described as process by the fall discussed with while teaching books etc. eachers. applications.


.3

4. The teacher's 5. fairness of 6. Was your

the internal

31		2	3	2	4	3	4			
32	- 4	3	4	3	1	4	3	4	3	3
33	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	4	2	4
34	3	3	2	4	3	4	3	4	3	4
35	2	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	4
36	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	2
37	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	3
38	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3
39		3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4
40	4	2	3	2	4	3	2	4	3	3
41	2	3	2	4	1	4	3	4	2	4
42	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	2
	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
43	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	3
44	4	2	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	2
45	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4
46	4	2	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	2
47	2	3	2	4	2	4	3	4	2	4
48	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	2
49	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
50	1	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3
51	4	4	2	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
52	4	3	4	4	3	4	2	4	4	4
53	3	4	4	4	4	3	1	3	4	4
54	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	3
55	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	2
56	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4
57	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	2
58	2	4	3	3	4	3	2	3	4	3
59	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	3
60	4	2	3	3	2	4	4	4	4	2
	2	3	3	4	2	4	3		4	4
51				3	3			2	4	2
2	3	3	3			3	3	3	3	3
3	4	2	3	2	4	4	2	4	3	4
4	2	3	2	4	3	4	3	2	4	4
5	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3
2	3	2	3	3	2	3	4	4	3	3

25			4	4	2	2		4	-
8 4	4	4	4	2			_	4	4
9 4	3			3	4	4	4	4	4
	-	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4



Less than 2.0 (50%)	(Poor)
Less than 3.0 (75%)	(Fair)
Between 3.0 to 3.59	(75% to 89%) (Good)
Above >=3.6 (90%)	(Excellent)

Observation & Action Taken

The Average feedback for all evaluation points is between 75% to 89% which is good , faculty is motivated & Appreciated

Prf (R.B. Enotre)

Dr. Pramod Hobvarthe
Horificial Intelligence & Date Science,
Vasandada Path Pratishthan's
College of Engineering & Visual Arts,
Sion, Mumbai - 400022.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts





TRAINING & PLACEMENT DEPARTMENT

Report

Name of the event: Alumni Meet

Date: Sunday, September 25, 2022 (11.00 a.m.)

Venue: Seminar hall, VPPCOE&VA, Mumbai

Number of alumni present: 92

An engineering college is a happening place as many diverse activities are going on throughout the academic year. Be it the teaching and learning, studies in the library, examinations, freshers' parties, various seminars and talks by the industry persons, sports and the cultural activities and one more such important activity is Alumni Meet. As the nature of engineering education is undergoing a sea of change, it has become more important that we seek and take help of our past students in carrying out various activities and to stay in touch with the past students of VPPCOE&VA and by exploring the opportunities in which they can contribute to the well-being and progress of the institute, the Training and Placement Department has organized an Alumni Meet 2022 on Sunday, September 25, 2022. A good number of students were contacted and asked to give their presence for the Meet Again 2022 Program. It is an attempt in which we invite the past students to be a part of the VPPCOE&VA's progress and to have an interaction among the office-bearers of VPPCOE&VA, its members of the faculty, students and the other past students also.

The Chief Guest of the main function was Shri Nandkumar Katkar, the President of Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan (VPP), Mumbai and Adv Appasaheb Desai, the General Secretary, VPP was also present.

Speaking on the occasion, President, Shri Nandkumar Katkar said there is a need to improve the technical standard of the aspiring engineers and suggested that teaching faculty should be given regular training to improve the process of teaching and learning. He also said that innovative projects from final year students can be given funding on the basis of their uniqueness. That is an add-on to motivate and to support the students.

The General Secretary, Adv Appasaheb Desai also spoke on the occasion and stressed the need to achieve active participation of the past students. He said that the services and the expertise of the alumni should be availed by the administration of the institute and that their experience should be utilized for imparting education to the present students. He said that you join us in delivering the talks on Technical Topics, conducting the Hands-on Sessions in offline as well in online mode, donate books to the library and be a part of the regular process of the institute.

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts





TRAINING & PLACEMENT DEPARTMENT

The head of the institute, Dr Alam N. Shaikh said that the alumni should contribute towards the academic development of the students. They are welcome to come to the institute and deliver seminars, webinars and share their technological expertise with the aspiring engineers of the institute. A total of 92 alumni attended the event and enjoyed the same. A good number of alumni spoke, expressed their opinion and assured the institute authorities in case their help is needed, they are ready to go the distance and oblige. Some of them gave their assurance that they will be ready to spare their time and services for the cause of the students of the VPPCOE&VA. They also advised that the students need to improve their technical know-how and also the soft skills like body language and the confidence to face the interviews of the companies. It was also advised that Career Guidance Sessions (seminars/webinars) should be arranged frequently to guide and encourage the students.

The management, head of the institute, heads of various departments expressed their satisfaction and appreciated the efforts put in by the Team TPO and everyone else who was a part of the organizing team.

The vote of thanks was proposed by the Training and Placement Officer, Professor Swapnil Desai. He thanked the alumni for giving their presence and asked them to contribute to the placement process. He said that we wish to have referral drives from your end, giving our current students an edge in securing the placements. The students' team under the able guidance of Rushil Sawant helped in the organization of the function, along with team TPO and the departmental staff coordinators.

Professor Swapnil Desai Head, T&P Department, VPPCOE&VA.

Wednesday, September 28, 2022
Training and Placement Department
Vasantdade Pattl Pratishthan's College
of Engineering and Visual Arts,
Sion, Mumbai - 400 022



Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts





TRAINING & PLACEMENT DEPARTMENT

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report

For Alumni Meet on Sunday, September 25, 2022

To keep in touch with the past students and alumni of our institute, every year the Training and Placement Department (T&P Department) organizes a 'Let's Meet One More Time' event in which we invite our past students to visit the college again and see for themselves the progress of the institute. The objective of the meet is to gather the opinion of the past students so that the institute machinery can be updated and enhanced because these students had studied in the institute for their engineering and they are supposedly the best judges to rate our performance. The institute authorities including the management, head of the institute, all the department's heads and deans of different departments, teaching and nonteaching staff members met the attendees and discussed with them the ways to improve the services rendered by the institute towards the current students and aspiring engineers. There was a free-flowing discussion among all the stakeholders of the campus. A few past students opined that students should be asked to study beyond the curriculum and pursue some networking courses from reputed training institutes to secure good career options. There was a number of suggestions from the past students, notably they were guidance on how to have a strong resume, help them improve their technical skills and knowledge, also help them build on soft skills, body language, communications, expose them to career guidance seminars/webinars, make them understand to focus on building the career. Others shown interest in helping the institute and the T&P Department to hold the placement drives for providing placement to the needy students.

The past students were informed that many student-centric activities are being undertaken, like aptitude and technical training, Microsoft Certification Training Program to update the students' technical competency, study abroad fairs, overseas counseling seminars, seminars on GATE Preparation and other competitive examinations. They were further informed that students are also provided training on English communication, soft skills, like working in a team, leadership, and interpersonal skills, thereby making sure that our students become better professionals to serve the core industries. In this way, the concerns and suggestions of the alumni were addressed and taken care of. In this manner, we encourage them to be a part of the regular and routine process of the institute to make sure we work for each other and for mutual benefits.

Prof Swapnil Desai Head, T&P Department,

VPPCOE&VA.

Training and Placement Department

Vasantdade Patil Pratishthan's College







Date: 21st September, 2022

NOTICE

Training and Placement Department is pleased to announce that Alumni Meet 2K22 will be held on Sunday September 25th, 2022.

Prof. Swapnil Desai

Head, Training and Placement Dept.

VPPCOE&VA

Training and Placement Department Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022




Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts

Sunday, September 25 2022

FeedBack Analysis Alumni Meet (AY 2022-23)

Name Of Alumni	In Your Opinion how was this Meet.	All Over Arrangeme nt in Organized Manner	Hospitality/ Lunch	How was Alumni and H.O.D Interaction	Overall Rating (/10)
Avadhut Mane	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
nket Shinde	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9
Amol Mohite	Good	Good	Fair 🔒	Fair	Good
Siddhesh Pawar	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	.9
Rahul Gendeo Wadkar	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9.5
Sahil S. Tarfe	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	10
Sandesh Shukla	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good
Nitesh Solkar	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good
Manasi Bdavkar	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	10
Sagar Kakad	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent
Simran Barve	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9
Vinay V Bugade	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9
Onkar Nevrekar	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9
Vikrant Nivate	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	10
Bhagyaraj Dinesh Mokal	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	9
Parag Nadkar	Good	Good	Good / Fair	Good	
Swapnil Y Dabede	Good	Good	Good / Fair	Good	

Feedback	Good	Excellent	Fair
In Your Opinion how was this Meet.	4	13	0
All Over Arrangement in Organized Manner	4	13	0
Hospitality/Lunch	3	13	3
How was Alumni and H.O.D Interaction	6	10	1
Overall Rating	4	1	





Vasantadada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts Sion, Mumbai - 400022.



Alumni Feedback Form

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
In your opinion how was this meet.		·		-
All over arrangement in organized manner.		~		
Hospitality/Lunch.		V		
How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction?		V		
Overall rating (/10)		V		
Suggestion for Students	prepa	Resume is mo	ompany you	on gering

Name :-	Availant maine
Email Id :-	avadhut. Knone agmail com
Contact No :	9820041263
Year of Passing:-	2010
Branch:-	comps
Company Name:-	Albentier
Designation:-	Soll Architect

Signature



Vasantadada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts Sion, Mumbai - 400022.



Alumni Feedback Form

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
In your opinion how was this meet.		STATE OF		
All over arrangement in organized manner.			in inflation	ot=
Hospitality/Lunch.				
How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction?				
Overall rating (/10)	e	4 - 4		
Suggestion for Students	mores while ed	e of technica	al skills to a	dd on

Name :-	Sanket Shindle
Email Id :-	sanket b shinde 96@gmail.com
Contact No :-	8097807074
Year of Passing:-	2021
Branch:-	EXTC
Company Name:-	IDBI Smtech.
Designation:-	assocrate cyber security (executive)

Boukel



Vasantadada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts Sion, Mumbai - 400022.



Alumni Feedback Form

In your opinion how was this meet. All over arrangement in organized manner. Hospitality/Lunch. How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction? Overall rating (/10) Suggestion for Students Name:- Swapni) Dabced. Amal Mobile Email Id:- Contact No:- 86928989178 Year of Passing:- 2018	Poor
in organized manner. Hospitality/Lunch. How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction? Overall rating (/10) Suggestion for Students Name:- Swappi) Dabced. Amol Mohite Email Id:- Contact No:- 86928989178	
How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction? Overall rating (/10) Suggestion for Students Name :- Swapni) Dabcad. Amal Makite Email Id :- Contact No :- 86928969178	4
Overall rating (/10) Suggestion for Students Name:- Swappi) Pabaed. And Mohite Email Id:- Contact No:- 86928989178	× 1
Suggestion for Students Name:- Swappi) Pabeed. Amal Mohite Email Id:- Contact No:- 86928989178	
Name:- Swapni) Pabeed. Amal Monite Email Id:- Contact No:- 86928969178	
Email Id :	
Contact No:- 86928989178	
Year of Passing:- 2018	
Branch:- Comp	
Company Name:-	
Designation:-	

Signature

31md



Vasantadada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts Sion, Mumbat - 400022.



Alumni Feedback Form

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
In your opinion how was this meet.	1	**************************************		
All over arrangement in organized manner.	-	•		
Hospitality/Lunch.	V			
How was alumni and H.O.D. interaction?				
Overall rating (/10)	9			
Suggestion for Students		lithle required.	tech kno	wlodge

Name :-	Side n esh	pawos
Email Id :-	sideneshpawon	gissa gmai 1. com
Contact No :	8419972070	
Year of Passing:-	2015	
Branch:-	EXTC	
Company Name:-	tech m	
Designation:-	senior syst	em Engineres

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:			
Name of Hr: My. Suni / Nimal.			
Name Institution/Organization/Company: Soyolytics.			
Address of the Company:			
Designation: Department:			
Feedback about:			
Name of the employee: Rushiltesh Jagtus.			
Designation: Department:			
Year Of Joining:Year of Passing: 2022-23 Branch: CS			
Please select appropriate Box.			
Assessment Factors			
 How would you rate for ability of the employee to apply the knowledge of mathematics, basic science and engineering? 			
Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Below Average □ Poor			
 How would you rate for ability of the employee to identify, formulate, interpret, analyze and solve problems? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor 			
 How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand and take in to considerations economic, environmental, social, political, ethical and health and safety constraints in the process of design of the system and components as per curriculum. 			
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor			
4. How would you rate for ability of the employee to communicate? Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Below Average □ Poor			



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

5. How would you rate for ability to take initiative and demonstrate	
leadership? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor	
6. How would you rate for ability to work in a team? Average Below Average Poor	
Excellent L Good L Average L	
7. How would you rate for ability of the employee to get inclined towards lifelong learning?	
Excellent Good Average Below Average Poor	
8. How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand professional and ethical responsibilities?	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor	
9. How would you rate for ability of the employee to handle the contemporary issues?	
☐ Excellent ☑ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor	
10 How would you rate for ability of the employee to use techniques, skills and modern engineering tools?	
☐ Excellent ☑ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor	
11 How would you rate for ability of the employee as per his curriculum to meet the industry requirements?	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor	
Total score/index (Max. 55):	
Converted to percentage (%):	
our Suggestions, if any:	
	_
	_
	-

SHILL MOU



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:		- F	
Name of Hr: Mg.	Synil Niam	ul	
Name Institution/C	organization/Con	ipany: Siguly hics.	<u> </u>
Address of the Con	npany:		×
-			
Designation:		Department:	
Feedback about:			
Name of the employ	yee: Sananh	Kadam.	
Designation:		Department:	<u> </u>
Year Of Joining:	Year of	f Passing: 2022-23 Bra	inch: CS
		-2 2-25	
Please select approp	riate Box.		
Assessment Factor			
		f the employee to apply the	knowledge
of mathematic	es, basic science ar	nd engineering?	
		erage 🗆 Below Average 🗆	Poor
interpret anal	lyze and solve prot	f the employee to identify, blems?	
☐ Exceller	nt Good Ave	rage□ Below Average □	Poor
in to considere	ations economic, e d safety constrain	f the employee to understantionmental, social, politics in the process of design curriculum. erage□ Below Average □	of the
4. How would you	u rate for ability o	f the employee to commur erage□ Below Average □	nicate? l Poor



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

5	How would you rate for ability to take initiative and den	non	strate
٥.	leadership? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average		Poor
	How would you rate for ability to work in a team? Average ☐ Below Average		
	□ Excellent Good □ III		
721	How would you rate for ability of the employee to get in	cline	ed towards
7.	lifelong learning?		
	□ Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Below Average	П	Poor
	Excellent & Good Average Below Average	-	• • •
8.	How would you rate for ability of the employee to under professional and ethical responsibilities?	star	nd
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average		Poor
9.	How would you rate for ability of the employee to handle	e th	e
	contemporary issues? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average		Poor
10	How would you rate for ability of the employee to use to skills and modern engineering tools?	chn	iques,
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☑ Average ☐ Below Average		Poor
1	How would you rate for ability of the employee as per h to meet the industry requirements?	is c	urriculum
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average		Poor
	Total score/index (Max. 55):		
	Converted to percentage (%):		
ou	r Suggestions, if any:		
		1000	
_			
10			

Sylving .



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:	
Name of Hr: Nan	nrata Birare
Name Institution/Organiz	zation/Company: Alpha Payments
Address of the Company:	
Designation:	Department:
Feedback about:	
Name of the employee: Aditya Iadi	hav
Designation:	Department:
Veer Of Joining:	Year of Passing: 2022-23 Branch: Comp.
of mathematics, basic	or ability of the employee to apply the knowledge science and engineering?
	or ability of the employee to identify, formulate, solve problems? od Average Below Average Poor
3. How would you rate for in to considerations ed and health and safety	or ability of the employee to understand and take conomic, environmental, social, political, ethical constraints in the process of design of the
4. How would you rate fo	or ability of the employee to communicate? od Average Below Average Poor



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

5. How would you rate for ability to take initiative and demonstrate
leadership? ✓ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
6. How would you rate for ability to work in a team?
6. How would you late for a land Average □ Below Average □ Poor
7. How would you rate for ability of the employee to get inclined towards lifelong learning?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
8. How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand professional and ethical responsibilities?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
9. How would you rate for ability of the employee to handle the
contemporary issues? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
10 How would you rate for ability of the employee to use techniques, skills and modern engineering tools?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
11 How would you rate for ability of the employee as per his curriculum to meet the industry requirements?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
Total score/index (Max. 55):
Converted to percentage (%):
Your Suggestions, if any:





VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:	
Name of Hr:Namrata	Birare
Name Institution/Organization/Co	ompany: Alpha Payments
Address of the Company:	
Designation:	Department:
Feedback about:	Department.
Name of the employee: Wajida Sidd (qui	
Designation:	Department:
Please select appropriate Box. Assessment Factors 1. How would you rate for ability of mathematics, basic science	of the employee to apply the knowledge and engineering?
	verage Below Average Poor
interpret analyze and solve pr	of the employee to identify, formulate, oblems? verage□ Below Average □ Poor
in to considerations economic, and health and safety constrai	of the employee to understand and take environmental, social, political, ethical nts in the process of design of the curriculum.
4. How would you rate for ability Excellent ☐ Good ☐ A	of the employee to communicate? verage□ Below Average □ Poor



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Computer Engineering

	How would you rate for ability to take initiative and demonstrate
5.	How would you rate to take
	leadership? ✓ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
	for ability to work in a team?
	How would you rate for ability to work in a team? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
	How would you rate for ability of the employee to get inclined towards
7.	lifelong learning?
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
	. How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand
8	professional and ethical responsibilities.
	Excellent Good Average Below Average Poor
9	. How would you rate for ability of the employee to handle the
	contemporary issues? ☐ Excellent Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
	0 How would you rate for ability of the employee to use techniques,
1	1 down angineering tools!
	☐ Excellent Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ 100.
1	1 How would you rate for ability of the employee as per his curriculum
-	the industry requirements?
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
	Total score/index (Max. 55):
	Converted to percentage (%):
You	ur Suggestions, if any:
_	





VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Information Technology

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:
Name of Hr: Arushka Tagfaf C 50 Solutions
Name Institution/Organization/Company: Sankey Solutions
Address of the Company: Supremus Tower II, Wagle Industrial estate Thank West
Designation: HR executive Department: 17K
Feedback about:
Name of the employee: Saurabh hannauja
Designation: Solution Analyst Department:
Year Of Joining:Year of Passing: Branch:
Please select appropriate Box.
Assessment Factors
How would you rate for ability of the employee to apply the knowledge of mathematics, basic science and engineering?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
2. How would you rate for ability of the employee to identify, formulate,
2. How would you fate for the problems? interpret, analyze and solve problems? ☐ Excellent ☑ Good ☐ Average☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
3. How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand and take in to considerations economic, environmental, social, political, ethical and health and safety constraints in the process of design of the system and components as per curriculum.
□ Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Betown Trees
4. How would you rate for ability of the employee to communicate? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
5. How would you rate for ability to take initiative and demonstrate leadership? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Department of Information Technology

6. How would you rate for ability to work in a team? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
7. How would you rate for ability of the employee to get inclined towards lifelong learning?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
8. How would you rate for ability of the employee to understand professional and ethical responsibilities?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
9. How would you rate for ability of the employee to handle the contemporary issues? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
10 How would you rate for ability of the employee to use techniques, skills and modern engineering tools? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
11 How would you rate for ability of the employee as per his curriculum to meet the industry requirements? ☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average☐ Below Average ☐ Poor
Total score/index (Max. 55):
Converted to percentage (%):
Your Suggestions, if any:
Aurha



Department of Information Technology

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:	
Name of Hr. Janhavi . by .	
Name Institution/Organization/Company yodrej Intotah	Hd.
Eastorn express highway, plotten Pirojishanagar, Vikhoroticus, Mun	10.10,
Pirojshanagar, Vikhoroticwi, Mun	2-4000 79
Designation: Department:	
Name of the employee: Hasib Shairb	
Designation Department	
Year Of Joining: 2023 Year of Passing: 2022-23Branch: J. T	
Please select appropriate Box.	
Assessment Factors	
 How would you rate for ability of the employee to apply the kno- science and engineering? 	wledge of mathematics, basic
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average	Poor
2. How would you rate for ability of the employee to identify, form solve problems? Good Average Below Average	
3. How would you rate for ability of the employee to designed and of society?	leveloped solutions for benefit
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average	Poor
4. How would you rate for ability of the employee to provide a problem that may not be having specific constraints?	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average	□ Poor
5. How would you rate for ability of employee to use modern technology Excellent Good Average Below Average	nology?
6. How would you rate for ability of the employee to apply engine social issues and understanding the responsibilities associated	with engineering practices?
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average ☐ Below Average	□ Poor
7. How would you rate for ability of the employee to able to unders solutions in social and environmental context and demons development?	trate the need of sustainable
□Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Below Average	☐ Poor



Department of Information Technology

8. How would you rate for ability of the empresponsibilities?		professional and ethical
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	Poor
9. How would you rate for ability of the employ social issues and understanding the respons	SIDILITIES deservation	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	Poor
10 How would you rate for ability of the employ	yee to communicate?	
☐ Excellent ☐ Good Average	☐ Below Average	□ Poor
11 How would you rate for ability of the emplo	oyee to effectively mans ment and finance princ	age projects, demonstrating
☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
12 How would you rate the ability of employe	e to demonstrate his/	her commitment to life long
□ Excellent □ Good ■ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
13 How would you rate for ability of the en applying and integrating various domains and Security to solve real time problems?		
DExcellent Good Average	Below Average	□ Poor
14 How would you rate for ability of th	e employee to apply	the knowledge to
□ Excellent □ Good □ Average	Below Average	☐ Poor
Your Suggestions, if any:		
	rindhu	w
	Pindhu	

Signature



Department of Information Technology

Employer Feedback Form

Feedback Given By:			
Name of Hr. Bibdhy K.			
Name Institution/Organization/Comp	any Startar	solution	
Address of the Company:			
Designation: HR	-	ent:	
Name of the employee: Savan	- Feshil		
Designation:	Departm		
Year Of Joining 2023 Year	r of Passing: 2021	-7 3 Branch: II	
Please select appropriate Box.			
Assessment Factors			
How would you rate for a science and engineering			
□ Excellent □ G	ood	☐ Below Average	Poor
2. How would you rate for solve problems?	Good Average	☐ Below Average	Poor
3. How would you rate for a of society?	ability of the employ	ree to designed and de	veloped solutions for bene
□ Excellent □	Good Average	☐ Below Average	Poor
How would you rate for problem that may not b	e naving specific co	HISTIGHTO.	
□ Excellent □ G	good Average	☐ Below Average	Poor
5. How would you rate for Excellent	ability of employee	to use modern technic	ology?
How would you rate for social issues and under	rstanding the Jespo	Hamming appointed	The same of the sa
□ Excellent □	Good Average	☐ Below Average	□ Poor
	d environmentar e		
□Excellent □	Good Average	☐ Below Average	Poor.



Department of Information Technology

8.	How would you rate for ability of the e responsibilities?	mployee to understan	d professional and ethica
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
9.	How would you rate for ability of the empl social issues and understanding the respo	oyee to apply engineeri ensibilities associated w	ng knowledge in evaluatin ith engineering practices?
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	e 🔲 Below Average	□ Poor
10	How would you rate for ability of the emplo		17 17 1
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
11	1 How would you rate for ability of the empl a strong understanding of project manage	loyee to effectively man ment and finance princ	age projects, demonstration iples?
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
12	2 How would you rate the ability of employ learning		
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	Below Average	☐ Poor
13	3 How would you rate for ability of the er applying and integrating various domains and Security to solve real time problems?	i like Artificial Intelliger	ice, 101, Computer Netwo
	Excellent Good Average	Below Average	☐ Poor
14	4 How would you rate for ability of th certified/qualified professional?	e employee to apply	the knowledge to become
	☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Average	☐ Below Average	☐ Poor
			19 11 10 10
our	Suggestions, if any:		
			TELOW FILE
		T. C.	T.
		alas	1,

Signature

PARENTS FEEDBACK



Notice

Date: 04/10/2022

All the SE, TE and BE students, teaching and non-teaching staff members are hereby informed that Parent Teachers Interaction Meeting SH-2022 (PTIM SH-2022) is scheduled as given below.

Sr. No.	Class	Date & Time	Venue	Coordinator
1.	SE-A	Date:- 08/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12.00 pm	Class Room No. 303	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C
2.	SE-B	Date:- 08/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12.00 pm	Class Room No. 308	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C
3.	TE-A	Date:- 08/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12.00 pm	Class Room No. 311	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C
4.	TE-B	Date:- 08/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12,00 pm	Class Room No. 312	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C
5.	BE-A	Date:- 008/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12.00 pm	Lab No. 305	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C
6.	BE-B	Date:- 08/10/2022 Time: 10.00 am to 12.00 pm	Lab No. 316	Class Advisor & All Sub. I/C

PTIM Coordinator

Prof. Priyanka Rane

HOD Computer

Dr. Rais Mulla



Parent's Feedback Form SH-2022

ddress	: Tara	poh	wala 1	319	2, R	som N
•	Ken	/ 9 S —	Corner	Min	mbai	- 4000
rents	Contact No. : 92245					
me of	Student : Adit	4.0	Romes	sh s	Shear	2 V
ass :	Student : Adit T.E. = A I [COMPS] ease put (1) in appropriate	D NO. 12 column	<u>'41.F7021</u> .:	005	Date _S	2/10/22
r. No.	Quantifying Factors	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	V. Good	Excellent
1	Department			/		2
2	General Discipline				/	
3	Involvement with Students			/		
4	Teaching quality			V		
5	Student's performance					
6	Overall development				1	
7	Involvement in extra and co-curricular development			~		
	Infrastructure				V//	
8	Infrastructure					
8	Interaction with parents			/		1
	Interaction with			/		
9	Interaction with parents			/		

Parent's Signature



Parent's Feedback Form SH-2022

Name of the Parent	: Anil D. Gawde
Address	: Fou, Mauli Pride IA, Ambewad;
	Kurar Village, Malad (e) Mumbai - 97.
Parents Contact No.	: 9867293916 Email ID: anil-gawde 2011@gmail-en
Name of Student	: Omkar A. Gawle
Class : T.E. /	A ID NO. VUIF2021050 Date 8/10/22
Note : Please put [✓) in appropriate column.:

Sr. No.	Quantifying Factors	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	V. Good	Excellent
1	Department					1
2	General Discipline					V
3	Involvement with Students				V	
4	Teaching quality				V	
5	Student's performance				V	
6			A		V	
7					V	
8	Infrastructure				~	
9					V	
10	Assessment methods					V
11	Lab facilities				V	77
12	Exposure to Industries				V	

Suggestions (if a	1y)		 1000	

Parent's Signature



Parents Feedback Form FH-2023

Name of	the Parent	· Radhes	man k	ánol: a		
				U		Mum - 33
Parents C	ontact No.	: 84540	24382	Email_ <u></u>	know he a	gmail·10 m
Name of S		: K: 17	in lad Ko	notion		
	1eB		ID NO	· _ Vux	15 Z02.10	b
DateCL	103/20	2.3				

Note : Please put (✔) in appropriate column.:

Sr. No.	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department		/			
2	General Discipline		V			2000
3	Involvement with Students					
4	Teaching quality		./			
5	Student's performance					
6	Overall development					
7	Involvement in extra and co-curricular development	1				
8	Infrastructure	11				
9	Interaction with parents	~				
10	Assessment methods	/				
11	Lab facilities	/				
12	Exposure to industries	V				

Suggestions (if any)

Parent's Signature & Date



Parents Feedback Form FH-2023

Name of the Parent:	Deurat Achveku
Address: 11 (12	Some-Th- Negar Sim chuebh-hi
Parents Contact No.:	9869737944 Email dachrekarey. 6-7
Name of Student	: Chinmage Achak-
Class : B	ID NO
Date 04 (03/2)	

Note: Please put (✔) in appropriate column.:

Sr. No.	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	~				
2	General Discipline					
3	Involvement with Students					
4	Teaching quality		. ~			
5	Student's performance					
6	Overall development					
7	Involvement in extra and co-curricular development			/		
8	Infrastructure		~			
9	Interaction with parents					
10	Assessment methods	W		1		
11	Lab facilities					
12	Exposure to industries					

Suggestions	(if any)
-------------	----------

Parent's Signature & Date



Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts

PostEvent SummaryReport

Name of the event-Parent / Guardian to Parents- Teacher Interaction Meeting.

Dateofthe event- 04th March 2023.

Objective - To clear the doubts regarding the performance and establish a conversation between the parents of the students and the teachers.

Also to motivate the students through parents in the development of the students.

Participants - Principal-Dr. Alam N. Shaikh

HOD- Dr. Rais Allaudin Mulla

Faculty members of Computer Department

Parents

No of Participants - 73

Locationofevent- College Library,

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai.

Event Summary - A Parent - Teacher Meeting for Engineering Students was held on 04th March 2023 to discuss the performance of students with their parents. Parents were given feedback regarding their ward's performances in Mid Term Exam.


It was a great interaction between the teacher and the parents for the development of their children where they discussed both the strengths and areas of improvements. The progress report shown to the parents and their suggestions were noted. Any sign of a child failing to make progress, regardless of where they are in the lower, middle or higher range is questioned and addressed.

Similarly, the students from each classes with higher percentages of the attendance has been appeciated with Appreciation Certificates by the faculty members. The T.P.O. Head Prof. Swapnil Desai has cleared the


doubts of the parents regarding Placements of the students and has announced many different stratergies for the 100 % placement of the students.

Highlights:

- Our respected Principal Dr. Alam N. Shaikh Sir addressed the meeting saying that parents must behave friendly with students. Parents should spare time for them and motivate them in their progress.
- HOD-Dr. Rais Mulla Sir explained about the importance of Internship and Placement Drives. He also mentioned the issue of Attendance of the students and their academic performance.
- Parents were shown the mid-term papers and attendance of their children.
- Queries of parents regarding placement and academics were answered by the faculty members and the T.P.O. Head Prof. Swapnil Desai.









Parent's Feedback

The survey is considered to get the feedback from parents to improve over all quality of the

The survey is considered to get the recommendation
Institute.
Parent in ormation:
Instructions to fill the questionnaire
All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
 Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
• The response to the qualitative question is given in the last section, opportunity to give
suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the department/
institute here.
Name of the Parent: Pankaj A Nandurkar
Name of the Ward: Tanmayi Nardurkar
Mobile no.: 9763669859

Email ID: pankaj nanduskas 11@gmail.com

Over all teaching learning process and Facilities provided in the institution.

The survey is considered to know the scope to improve the over all ambience of the institute.

1. Please give your overall assessment of our Institute academics. Please rate us on following

	5 - Excellent	4- Very Good	3 Good	2 - Satisfactory	1-Poor
Department	V				_
nfrastructure & Lab	V				_
Feaching Quality	V				
Training & Placement	V				
General discipline	V				
Involvement with students	~				
System of monitoring students performance	V				
Overall development of students	~				
Involvement in extra and co curricular activities.	V				
Interaction with parents	V				
Assessment methods		L. Land			-
Exposure to industries.	V.				

Would you like to help for	the betterment of the institute in future.
Vi Yes	2) No
Will you recommend you	r relative/friends to enroll at VPPCOE and VA?
U)Yes	2) No
Suggestion to improve the	overall growth of the Institute.

Required Guidance for higher studies Parent signature entrance enams like GATE, GRE, CA1, TO FFEL



ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

Parent's Feedback

The survey is considered to get the feedback from parents to improve over all quality of the Institute.

Pacent Information 2
Instructions to fill the questionnaire
All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
• The response to the qualitative question is given in the last section, opportunity to give
suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the department/
institute here.
Name of the Parent: Kanchan Kothavale
Name of the Ward: Sagar Holhemale
Mobile no.: 9004895599
Email ID: Kornovalessaadgmail.com

(1)

Over all teaching learning process and Facilities provided in the institution.

The survey is considered to know the scope to improve the over all ambience of the institute.

1. Please give your overall assessment of our Institute academics. Please rate us on following

	5 - Excellent	4- Very Good	3 Good	2 - Satisfactory	1-Poor
Department	~				
Infrastructure & Lab facilities	~				
Teaching Quality	V				
Training & Placement	~				
General discipline	~				
Involvement with students	/	16.2.5			
System of monitoring students performance	/				
Overall development of students	~	111			1
Involvement in extra and co curricular activities.		=,			
Interaction with parents	V				
Assessment methods	V				
Exposure to industries.	V.				

Would yo	u like to	help	for	the betterment	of	the	institute	in	future.
----------	-----------	------	-----	----------------	----	-----	-----------	----	---------

1) Yes

2) No

Will you recommend your relative/friends to enroll at VPPCOE and VA?

Lives

2) No

Suggestion to improve the overall growth of the Institute.

Parent Signature

A REPORT ON PARENTS - TEACHER INTERACTIVE MEETING (PTIM-FH-2023)

Date: 04 March 2023

Time: 10:00 am - 12:00 pm

Venue: 406, 407 and 408

Program Schedule

- * Welcome & Introduction of the Head of department.
- * Address by the Principal
- * Address by the Head of Department
- * Address by the Training & Placement Co-ordinator
- * Counselling Session by subject teachers

Address by the Principal - Dr. Alam N. Shaikh

Dr. Alam Shaikh in his speech enlightened about the various facilities available provided in the college and about the rules and regulations.

Address by the Head of Department - Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe

HOD of Electronics & Telecommunication Department, Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe interacted with the parents. He discussed about various points like Result, Placement Extra/co-curricular activities. He also discussed about the academic calendar, results and student's progress report, campus placement status in the academic year, student's attendance and defaulters, industrialvisit and other co-curricular activities.

Address by the Training & Placement Co-ordinator

- Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar

Training & Placement co-ordinator of EXTC & AI-DS, Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar interacted with the parents of Third year and Second year students. She explained them the Placement Policy of the college, the current scenario of industrial requirements from a fresher and the importance of soft skill training along with the overall development of students from the placement point of view. The planned schedule of placement training for SE and TE students was explained in detail.

Counselling session by subject teachers

Year	Staff	Points Discussed				
	Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe	Attendance & progress of student.				
S.E. (Semester IV)	Prof. Daimi Mariya	 Encouragement of students to take advantage of the free facility provided 				
Students and	Prof. Sailaxmi Parvati	by the college, which includes spoker				
Parents	Prof. Rajesh Morey	tutorials by IIT and Free and op source software courses by CSI.				
	Prof. Vicky Patil	Placement training				
	Prof.Shruti Jadhav					
	Prof.Angha Dhavalikar					
	Prof.Gitanjali Korgaonkar					
	Prof.Seema Pawar					

Year	Staff	Points Discussed				
T.E.	Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar Prof. Sailakshmi K.	Training in communication other quantitative aptitude skills development				
(Semester VI)	Dr, Suvarna Bhise	 MOCK placement interviews. Internships at research institutes. 				
Students and Parents	Prof. Gitanjali Korgaonkar					
	Prof. Seema Pawar					


Year	Staff	Points Discussed			
	Dr.Alam Shaikh	Status of Placements of department for			
B.E.	Prof.Rajesh Khotre	the current year.			
(Semester VII)	Prof. Gitanjali Korgaonkar	 Result discussion. 			
Students and	Prof. Vicky Patil	Discussion on Placement Policies			
Parents	Prof. Shridhar Desai				

Feedback Summary

S.E (AI & DS)


Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	9	9	6	1	
2	General Discipline	8	8	9		
3	Involvement with	8	7	8	2	
4	Teaching Quality	11	11	3		
5	Ward's Performance	8	7	8	2	
6	Overall Development	9	9	6	1	
7	Involvement in Extra-	7	9	7	2	
8	Infrastructure	8	10	5	2	
9	Interaction with	8	9	8		
10	Assessment methods	9	8	7	1	
11	Lab facilities	8	11	5	1	
12	Library facilities	9	8	8		
13	Exposure to	10	9	6		






TE (EXTC)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V.	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
Sr. 140.	Department	7	7	5	1	
1		8	7	4	1	5
2	General Discipline	-		7	2	
3	Involvement with	6	3	- '	-	
4	Teaching Quality	9	9	2		
5	Ward's Performance	8	7	3	2	
- Maria	Overall Development	7	9	3	1	
6		9	5	4	2	
7	Involvement in Extra-	-	7	5	2	
8	Infrastructure	6				
9	Interaction with	7	7	6		
10	Assessment methods	7	8	5		
	Lab facilities	8	11	1		-
11		9		9		
12	Library facilities		9	3		
13	Exposure to	8	9			



BE(EXTC)

	Excellent	V.	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
tifying factors	6	5	4	1	
ral Discipline	6	6	3	1	
vement with	5	5	5	1	
ning Quality	9	5	2		
's Performance	6	5	3	2	
all Development	7	5	3	1	
vement in Extra-	8	5	2	1	
structure	6	7	2	1	
action with	7	7	2		
ssment methods	6	8	2		
facilities	8	5	3		
	8	5	1		
osure to	7	7	2		
ır	y facilities	y facilities 8	y facilities 8 5	y facilities 8 5 1	y facilities 8 5 1



Queries from parents

Some queries came up by parent's side as follows:

- 1. Parents asked about the academic progress of their ward.
- Some parents suggested that soft skill training should be included in the curriculum of the students which could be fruitful for them in campus recruitments.
- 3. Some parents asked about areas of improvement we can focus on to help their ward's succeed in the future.

Actions Taken Report

- The overall response from the parents was satisfactory and they provided us feedback regarding our teaching methods, discipline and our curricular and co-curricular activities.
- As per parents' request the academic progress of their ward was discussed with them by the subject teachers.
- TPO policy was discussed with them and informed them about the soft-skill training provided by TPO to the students for making them ready for campus drives.
- Mentors for respective students are assigned, so that each student can interact with their mentors once in a week to discuss about their overall progress and any difficulty if they are facing.

رولال


Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe
HOD (EXTC & AI-DS)
HOD,

Artificial Intelligence & Date Science, Vasandada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai - 400022.

Photo Gallery









.....





W 10 8 2 0

A REPORT ON PARENT - TEACHER INTERACTIVE MEETING (PTIM-SH-2022)

Date: 8th October 2022

Time: 10:00 am - 12:00 pm

Venue: 201, 202 and 203



TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024) ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG./ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. I.T. (F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Program Schedule

- * Welcome & Introduction of the Head of department.
- * Address by the Principal
- * Address by the Head of Department
- * Address by the Training & Placement Co-ordinator
- * Counselling Session by subject teachers

Address by the Principal - Dr. Alam N. Shaikh

Dr. Alam Shaikh in his speech enlightened about the various facilities available provided in the college and about the rules and regulations. He also gave a brief explanation of the online system that allows them the opportunity to track their child's academic progress on a daily basis without leaving the confines of their homes.

Address by the Head of Department - Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe

HOD of Electronics & Telecommunication Department, Dr.Pramod Bhavartheinteracted with the parents. He discussed about various points like Result, Placement Extra/co-curricular activities. He also discussed about the academic calendar, results and student's progress report, campus placement status in the academic year, student's attendance and defaulters, industrial visit and other co - curricular activities.

Address by the Training & Placement Co-ordinator

– Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar

Training & Placement co-ordinator of EXTC & AI-DS, Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar interacted with the parents of Third year and Second year students. She explained them the Placement Policy of the college, the current scenario of industrial requirements from a fresher and the importance of soft skill training along with the overall development of students from the placement point of view. The planned schedule of placement training for SE and TE students was explained in detail.



TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024) NBA ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGGJ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGGJ I.T. (F. No 28-110 / 2010 NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Counselling session by subject teachers

Year	Staff	Points Discussed
Teal	Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe	Attendance & progress of student.
	Prof. Smita N.	Encouragement of students to take
S.E. (Semester III)	Prof. Rajesh Khotre	advantage of the free facility provided
Students and	Prof. Vinod Sakpal	by the college, which includes spoken
Parents	Prof. Vicky Patil	tutorials by IIT and Free and open source software courses by CSI. Placement training

Year	Staff	Points Discussed			
T.E. (Semester V) Students and Parents	Prof. Anagha Dhavalikar	 Training in communication and other quantitative aptitude skills 			
	Prof. Sailakshmi K.	development.			
	Prof. Rajesh Khotre	 MOCK placement interviews. 			
	Prof. Harsha	Internships at research institutes.			
	Prof. Nilesh Mali	• Internatings at research matteres			

Year	Staff	Points Discussed
Itai	Dr.Suvarna Bhise	 Status of Placements of department for
B.E.	Prof. Gitanjali K.	the current year.
(Semester VII)	Prof. Sailakshmi K.	 Result discussion.
Students and Parents	Prof. Rajesh Morey	Discussion on Placement Policies



TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)


NRA ACCREDITED COURSES : (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGGJ ELEX, ENGG. / EXTC. ENGGJ I.T.

(F No 28 110 10 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Feedback Summary

S.E (AI & DS)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	11	11	8	2	
2	General Discipline	10	11	11		
3	Involvement with students	10	9	10	3	
4	Teaching Quality	11	11	10		
5	Ward's Performance	10	9	11	2	
6	Overall Development	10	10	11	1	
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular &Co- Curricular Development	9	11	10	2	
8	Infrastructure	11	10	10	1	
9	Interaction with Parents	10	11	11		
10	Assessment methods	11	9	11	1	
11	Lab facilities	10	10	11	1	
12	Library facilities	11	10	10	1	
13	Exposure to Industries	10	9	10	3	






(F No 28 11) (F NBA Ends 1027 1/2017)

T.E (EXTC)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
	Danasimani	9	9	3	3	
1	Department	8	8	4	4	
2	General Discipline		9	5	3	
3	Involvement with	7				
4	Teaching Quality	9	9	6		
	Ward's Performance	8	8	6	2	
5		9	7	5	3	
6	Overall Development		0	5	3	
7	Involvement in Extra- Curricular &Co- Curricular Development	8	8			
0	Infrastructure	8	8	5	3	
8		8	9	5	2	
9	Interaction with Parents		9	4	2	
10	Assessment methods	9			2	
11	Lab tacilities	8	9	5		
	Library facilities	7	9	5	3	
12		- 0	8	1 4	4	
13	Exposure to Industries	8	0			





TRUST REGD NO. F 6736 (MUMBAI)


NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGGJ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGGJ I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

B.E (EXTC)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	8	11	8		
2	General Discipline	10	10	7		
3	Involvement with students	9	11	7		
4	Teaching Quality	11	10	6		
5	Ward's Performance	10	11	6		
6	Overall Development	9	11	7		
7	Involvement in Extra- Curricular &Co-Curricular Development	10	11	6		
8	Infrastructure	9	10	8		
9	Interaction with Parents	11	10	6		
10	Assessment methods	10	9	5	3	
11	Lab facilities	11	9	7		
12	Library facilities	9	10	5	3	
13	Exposure to Industries	11	10	3	3	





TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES : (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG./ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Queries from parents

Some queries came up by parent's side as follows:

- 1) Parents asked about the academic progress of their ward.
- Some parents suggested that soft skill training should be included in the curriculum of the students which could be fruitful for them in campus recruitments.

Actions Taken Report

- The overall response from the parents was satisfactory and they provided us feedback regarding our teaching methods, discipline and our curricular and co-curricular activities.
- As per parents' request the academic progress of their ward was discussed with them by the subject teachers.
- TPO policy was discussed with them and informed them about the soft-skill training provided by TPO to the students for making them ready for campus drives.

wy

Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe HOD (EXTC & AI-DS)

HOD.

Artificial Intelligence & Date Science, Vasandada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai - 400022.



1

VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

TRUST REGD. NO F 6 36 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. / I.T. (F. No 28-110 / 2010 NBA Dated 02/04/2012)


Photo Gallery





1

VASANTDADA PATIL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERIN TRUST OF ON NO 1 NAAG ACCREDITED COURSES (During 15.03.2017 to 14.01.2 (F No 28 110 / 2010 N.B.A. PRATISHTHAN'S AND VISUAL ARTS 201 J 40 J 27.03.2024) PCOMP ENGGJ ELEX ENGG. / EXTC. ENGGJ I.T. (F No 28 110 / 2010 N.B.A.





PTIM INVITATION LETTER

Date: 16'02/2023

To,	
Mr. / Mrs	
Dear Parents/Guardian,	

Our College encourages overall development of its students and we firmly believe that the parents of our students are their constructive Support in grooming their minds. To fulfill our objective we have decided to organize Parents Teacher Interaction Meet for First Year Applied Art on February 23, 2023.

Parents are required to assemble in classroom 403 at 2:00 PM.

We are optimistic that this meeting will help us to communicate to parents about the academic performance /progress/attendance/behavior of their ward which will help them to know efforts required for further improvement of their ward.

We are pleased to invite you the "Parents Teacher Interaction Meet" on February 23, 2023. It is compulsory that least one parent should essentially attend the meeting.

Class Teacher Mr. Sagar Shejwal

HOD Dr. Minal Rajurkar DEAN

Dr.Muktadevi Mohite

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Marg, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022.

		14	WALKER TO	
	Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's Co	ollege of Engine	ering and Visua	Arts,Sion
Date:	23/2/2023	-9E 01 Fr. 5		
Time:	2:00PM			
Dept:	Visual Arts	40	Class Room	403
	Parent's Teach	er Interaction N	Meeting	
Sr. No.	Name of the Parents	Course	Year	Sign
		Applied Art	First Year	
1	Prashant Rane Vaishau Rane		42-1	fane
2	Vaishaus Rang Mr. Manchar M. Bani Dato. Babita Bani			Brun
3	Mrs. Surekha Satpute			spite
4	msvinita v. Manjare			वि वि भीडारे
5	msvinita v. Manjare によりはらりのい	*		त्रे अवर्म भन
6	protect Bhole.			Bhalepal
7	Shailesh Nadelcar			Sa Nadeli
8	shashikant Narapejal	* a		Shall9
9	Shashikant Narapejal Rinki Jam:			R.
10	V. B. Blilare. Ashish D. Sawant	_		
11				3 mm
12	Narendea R. Vankus			(fresh
13	Sudhir & Shurle			- Brase
14	Sunil Chitmis			3 mg
15	Trotisling. Shocke			aly
16	2015			(008 N
17	Madhuma Gichenellar			Mellerin
18	Jayshree Jadhar			Tadhat
19	Harsha S. Aixit			Haring
20	Yarshali Salepay			Walkey,
21	Mrs. Bhaeali Shire			BAhie
22	Ananda Nilare			of the
23	Rachna Thanval			Rhowa

		11		Lite (1996) The Company
	Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's Co	ollege of Engine	ering and Visua	Arts,Sion
Date:	23/2/2023			
Time:	2:00PM			
Dept:	Visual Arts		Class Room	403
	Parent's Teache	er Interaction I	Meeting	
74	अरबिंट जिलं	Anterno		Mul
24	व्यामा वितं			Bhiward
26	प्रमा नारकर			S. R. Nokeller
	0 > 1	_		Asllur.
27	Mr. & Mr. Ramesh Alla			Political
28		Ĭ.	*(F	C.D
29	Sylarma. S. Ranbhase			3.5
30			18	
31				
32	я			
33	1			
34		l l		
35			-	
			E)	
37				9
39				
40			10	
41			*	
42				
43			100	,
44				
45		(4)		
46			(4	
47				
48				

If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of? \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) No

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same.

Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward.

Name of your ward:

Class: Ist Year Applied Art

Father

Name: Mr. Satish R. Satpute

Organization:

Designation: Teacher

Office Address: Wardi Scafare Muncipale School

Off .No:

Mobile No: 9869404615/8828447369

Email address: Satish sapute 1211@gmail. com

Mother

Name: Mrs. Surelcha Satish Satpute

Organization:

Designation:

Office Address:

Off .No:

Mobile No: 9869309286 /84518573

Email Address: Satpute 95 surekhale grail. com



patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Vital pepartment of Visual Arts

FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Applied Art Dept. are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We at VPPCOE &VA, Applied Art Dept. and the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with we, First Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your ward we reformance. we, First Year Department constantly strive to the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department			V	_ =	8
2	General Discipline	7		1		
. 3	Involvement with Students		V	2	,	
4	Teaching Quality		1/		. 4	
5	Ward's Performance			1 .	V	
6	Overall Development			~		
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development	1	V	4	18	
8	Infrastructure	4 33	.1.			
9	Interaction with Parents			~		
10	Assessment methods	-			•	
11	Lab facilities		V	,/		
12	Library Facilities					
13	Exposure to Industries					

Is your ward going through any stress or strain in Life/ College/home? Yes

PTO



Date: 23/12/2022

PTIM INVITATION LETTER

10,	
Mr. / Mrs	
Dear Parents/Guardian,	
believe that the parents of our stude	all development of its students and we firmly nts are their constructive Support in grooming we have decided to organize Parents Teacher pplied Art on January 5, 2023
Parents are required to assemble in c	lassroom 412 at 2:00 pm.
about the academic performance /pro	eeting will help us to communicate to parents ogress/attendance/behavior of their ward which red for further improvement of their ward.
January 5, 2023. It is compulsory the meeting. Class Teacher H	the "Parents Teacher Interaction Meet" on that least one parent should essentially attend OD DEAN Inal Rajurkar Dr. Muktadevi Mohite Dean Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Mars. Sine Mumbai. 400 022



PARENT TEACHER'S INTERACTION MEETING

for the Academic Year 2022-23

06/01/2023

The college has organized a Parent-teacher Interaction meeting for Second year Applied Arts students on Thursday, January 5, 2023 in the college at 2:00 PM. Total 31 Parents were report for the meet. The main purpose of meet was create a common platform, where teacher and parents come together to enrich the student's educational experiences, Department activities, student progress and performance, regarding all round development of students. The Parents were intimated about the meeting well in advance.

The Parent Teacher Interaction meeting was conducted as per the following schedule:

1. Parent teacher gathering was started by tea and biscuits.

 Parent teacher interaction meeting started with a speech of Dr. Muktadevi Mohite (Dean of Visual Arts)

3. Followed by motivational speech of Dr. Minal, Head of the

department and Dr. Mohite.

4. At the end Mr. Akshay Chaudhari, Class teacher of second year Applied Art shared his worthy experience with parent as well as faculty members of department.

5. Also, Parents were invited to express their views about the college, their views regarding the development of their wards and

to come up with suggestions.

6. Vote of thanks to the Parents was given by Ms. Mohini madam

7. After this parents went to meet the subject teachers, discussed about the academic performance of the students like class

attendance, terminal examination marks and overall discipline in the campus.

8. The PTM came to an end with the conclusion that the progress and development of the students depend on the joint efforts of parents and teachers.

THE PURPOSE/OBJECTIVE OF PARENT TEACHER MEETING WAS AIMED AT:

- 1. The main objective of the meeting was to create a common platform, where teachers and parents come together to discuss student's performance and devise ways to enrich their learning experience.
- 2. Building a Partnership where a Teacher often introduces parents to their teaching style, discipline methods and classroom policies.
- 3. Expressing their commitment to your child's education, such as their organizational skills & positive attitude.
- 4. Gathering information where teachers & parents can exchange information about child's academic progress like his/her attendance marks in examinations and also about the child's social development like his/her behavior and discipline, punctuality etc.
- 5. Developing a Plan where the Teacher-Parent together can address a plan to help the student to work through his/her difficulties or ways to ensure his/her continued success.

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

(Loguston

HOD, Visual Art

Dr. Muktadevi P.Mohite Dean, Visual Art Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion

Date: 5 01 2023

Closs Room - 412

Time: 2pm

Dept: Visual Arts

Year: Second year.

	Parent's Teach	er Interaction	Meeting	
Sr. No.	Name of the Parents	Course	Year	Sign
١	Sheha Suresh Pared	Applied Ant	Second year	Arres
2	SHARAD Y. KINJALKAR		4-	BKijakar
3	Marayan. R. BIRJe	AppliedAr	Securlyen	Jan -
4		AppliedAvt	1.00	Sulhi.
5	Prajaleta Gadleari	Applied Act	T	Jan.
6	Keshow B. Dongodyn	**************************************		And Or
1	Monali . S. Mali	Appliedad	- <u>т</u>	1000male
8)	Somnath P. Joshi	Applieda	- II	200
9)	Gayarsi S. Paaces	Appliedar	I	Ciggue
10>	Mohan D. Kadan	Applialed	T	ugas.
ツ	Rowan G Parob	A Pal tod	TL_	planet
12	Ablay -B. Waih.	ABPIOLA	II.	- Ale-
13	Pregalde mancha	Tracherde	- II	Therehad
IA	Maner A. Deshpande	Approxen	I	Maron!
15)	Mes. Charushed P. Bons			Fansoele
16)	Depiles. V. Holem	Applied M	T	Dans
12)	CHOWQUOSE. ANGELA. Nino	N APRieda	I di	Klinn
181	Rekha Bibtled Join	APPlOHA	I	Rekha
19)	Sourabh S. Mail	Appliedo	t II	Smeit
20)	Srushti Harrish Dhume	Applied	IL	Surnal
21)	Kanchan Balis Zunk	No. of the second secon	T	Burvace
22)		Applied	W. C	NO APPENDE
20	vipula si kamm		그	Jun 101
24)	Karrudro To Siedar	Applied	#	(RSW-

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion

Date: 5 01 2023

Time: 2Pm

Dept: Visual Arts

Year: Second year.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			V	•
	Parent's Teacl	ner Interaction	Meeting	
Sr. No.	Name of the Parents	Course	Year	Sign
28	Rita C. Yadav	2nd year	Applieda	Rodo
29	Kishoo salankhe	2 2 md yo.	APPIICOL AS	1
30	Sanjay Deo	2nd yen	Applied	ALC:
31>	Manisha H. Varale	2nd year	Appledart	Ment
Š.				
				(4
			,	
- 1				
		-		
-				
1				
5				
4-				



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Applied Art Dept. are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We, Eiset Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	سن		-		
2	General Discipline	A. Santa		-		3.98
3	Involvement with Students			-	D (a)	~
4	Teaching Quality		1	941111		
5	Ward's Performance		-			
6	Overall Development			V		
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development			-	2	
8	Infrastructure	10 A		~		,
9	Interaction with Parents	1		·		~
10	Assessment methods	A C O Co	W.	ا		
11	Lab facilities	W. John	16 y 15 y	~		
12	Library Facilities	447		~		
13	Exposure to Industries	haj o oc	100			

Is your ward going through any stress or strain in Life/ College/home?

Yes No

If yes, please specify No appreciation for the work	done, remains tensed.
Is there any medical condition we should be aware of? Yes	
If yes, please specify	× 1
Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding	the teaching learning process? Please
provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the sa	me.
	300
Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with constantly update you on the performance of your ward.	your contact details so we can
Name of your ward: Esha . A. Nalkur.	
Class: II year	6 a _x
Father Ananthan Halkin	
Name: Anantrao Nalker	
Organization: Private - Acme Ozone complex	14.0
Designation:	
Office Address: Acre Ozove, Thank	
Off.No:	
Mobile No: 9004022989	**
Email address: 8 nav 8964@ redistrial.com	
Mother Vinitha Nalku.	
Name:	м.
Organization:	
Designation: Teacher	981
Office Address:	- SP
Off .No:) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mobile No: 9867661853	
Email Address: 16 22410@reditional.com.	



To,

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & V Department of Visual Arts

.....

Date:23/12/2022

PTIM INVITATION LETTER

	4
Mr. / Mrs	
Dear Parents/Guardian,	
Our College encourages overall development believe that the parents of our students are their contheir minds. To fulfill our objective we have decide Interaction Meet for Third year Applied Art on Jan	nstructive Support in grooming ed to organize Parents Teacher
Parents are required to assemble in classroom 503 at	t 2:00 pm.
We are optimistic that this meeting will help about the academic performance /progress/attendance will help them to know efforts required for further in	ce/behavior of their ward which
We are pleased to invite you the "Parents T January 4, 2023. It is compulsory that least one parents the meeting. A Solution	
Class Teacher HOD	DEAN
Mr. Sachin Ghayal Dr. Minal Rajurkar	Dr.Muktadevi Mohite
Mr. Gaurav Panchal	Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Marg, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022.



PARENT TEACHER'S INTERACTION MEET for the Academic Year 2022-23

10/01/2023

Third year Applied Arts students on Thursday, January 9, 2023 in the college at 2:00 PM. Total 22 Parents were report for the meet. The main purpose of meet was create a common platform, where teacher and parents come together to enrich the student's educational experiences, Department activities, student progress and performance, regarding all round development of students. The Parents were intimated about the meeting well in advance.

The Parent Teacher Interaction meeting was conducted as per the following schedule:

- 1. Parent teacher gathering was started by tea and biscuits.
- 2. Parent teacher interaction meeting started with a speech of Dr. Muktadevi Mohite (Dean of Visual Arts)
- 3. Followed by motivational speech of Dr. Minal, Head of the department and Dr. Mohite.
- 4. At the end Mr. Sachin Ghayal and Mr. Gaurav Panchal, Class teacher of Third year Applied Art shared his worthy experience with parent as well as faculty members of department.
- 5. Also, Parents were invited to express their views about the college, their views regarding the development of their wards and to come up with suggestions.
- 6. Vote of thanks to the Parents was given by Mr. Gaurav Panchal.
- 7. The session was anchored by Mr. Gaurav Panchal.
- 8. After this parents went to meet the subject teachers, discussed about the academic performance of the students like class

attendance, terminal examination marks and overall discipline in the campus.

9. The PTM came to an end with the conclusion that the progress and development of the students depend on the joint efforts of parents and teachers.

THE PURPOSE/OBJECTIVE OF PARENT TEACHER MEETING WAS AIMED AT:

- 1. The main objective of the meeting was to create a common platform, where teachers and parents come together to discuss student's performance and devise ways to enrich their learning experience.
- 2. Building a Partnership where a Teacher often introduces parents to their teaching style, discipline methods and classroom policies.
- 3. Expressing their commitment to your child's education, such as their organizational skills & positive attitude.
- 4. Gathering information where teachers & parents can exchange information about child's academic progress like his/her attendance marks in examinations and also about the child's social development like his/her behavior and discipline, punctuality etc.
- 5. Developing a Plan where the Teacher-Parent together can address a plan to help the student to work through his/her difficulties or ways to ensure his/her continued success.

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

(Lojurtar

HOD, Visual Art

Dr. Muktadevi P.Mohite Dean, Visual Art

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion 9/1/2023 Date: Time: 2:00PM **Visual Arts** Dept: Class Room 503 **Parent's Teacher Interaction Meeting** Sr. No. Name of the Parents Course Year Sign Applied Art Third Year Pranay Parus Rekha m. Bhanushali 10 11 12) 13) domega Nilima kodolikas P. Chavan Rasal Pendun han (Amushka NSKapt



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Applied Art Dept. are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We, Third Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	/				
2	General Discipline	V		4	€ /F	
3	Involvement with Students	~				
4	Teaching Quality	~		2.5.43	sh _e h	
·5	Ward's Performance			- V_	1 -41 - 6	
6	Overall Development	/				
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development	_	h			
8	Infrastructure	1/	4 1 E F	ai F		
9	Interaction with Parents	/				
10	Assessment methods	1	- 1	- (4		
11	Lab facilities	/			2	
12	Library Facilities	/				
13	Exposure to Industries	/				

your ward going through any s	stress or strain in Life/ College/home?	Yes	WNO
-------------------------------	---	-----	-----

PTO

If yes, please specify Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?

Yes No No If yes, please specify Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same. Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward. Manasi Dinesh Chaven Name of your ward: III Visual ARTS. Class: Father Dinesh Parshuram Chavan. Name: Organization: Business Ekata Society, 5112 512, maharma Phule Nagas Kalwaleo Designation: Office Address: Off.No: Mobile No: 9969763469 Email address: Chavandines669 Ognail. com Mother Siddhi Dinesh Chewan Name: Organization: - House wofe Designation: Office Address:

Off .No:

Mobile No:

Email Address:

9594339462



Date: 23/12/2022

PTIM INVITATION LETTER

To,	
Mr. / Mrs	
Dear Parents/Guardian,	
Our College encourages overall developmed believe that the parents of our students are their of their minds. To fulfill our objective we have deci- Interaction Meet for Final year Applied Art on J	constructive Support in grooming ided to organize Parents Teacher
Parents are required to assemble in classroom 508	at 2:00 pm.
We are optimistic that this meeting will he about the academic performance /progress/attenda will help them to know efforts required for further	nce/behavior of their ward which
We are pleased to invite you the "Parents January 3, 2023. It is compulsory that least one	e parent should essentially attend
Class Teacher HOD	DEAN DEAN
Mr. Girish Ramteke Dr. Minal Rajurkar	Dr.Muktadevi Mohite
Mr. Sapan Yadav	Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Gual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Marg, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022.



PARENT TEACHER'S INTERACTION MEETING for the Academic Year 2022-23

06/01/2023

The college has organized a Parent-teacher Interaction meeting for Final year Applied Arts students on Thursday, January 5, 2023 in the college at 11:00 AM. Total 21 Parents were report for the meet. The main purpose of meet was create a common platform, where teacher and parents come together to enrich the student's educational experiences, Department activities, student progress and performance, regarding all round development of students. The Parents were intimated about the meeting well in advance.

The Parent Teacher Interaction meeting was conducted as per the following schedule:

- 1. Parent teacher gathering was started by tea and biscuits.
- 2. Parent teacher interaction meeting started with a speech of Dr. Muktadevi Mohite (Dean of Visual Arts)
- 3. Followed by motivational speech of Dr. Minal, Head of the department and Dr. Mohite.
- 4. At the end Mr. Girish Ramteke and Mr. Sapan Yadav, Class teacher of Final year Applied Art shared his worthy experience with parent as well as faculty members of department.
- 5. Also, Parents were invited to express their views about the college, their views regarding the development of their wards and to come up with suggestions.
- 6. Vote of thanks to the Parents was given by Mr. Sapan Yadav
- 7. The session was anchored by Mr. Girish Ramteke.
- 8. After this parents went to meet the subject teachers, discussed about the academic performance of the students like class

- attendance, terminal examination marks and overall discipline in the campus.
- 9. The PTM came to an end with the conclusion that the progress and development of the students depend on the joint efforts of parents and teachers.

THE PURPOSE/OBJECTIVE OF PARENT TEACHER MEETING WAS AIMED AT:

- 1. The main objective of the meeting was to create a common platform, where teachers and parents come together to discuss student's performance and devise ways to enrich their learning experience.
- 2. Building a Partnership where a Teacher often introduces parents to their teaching style, discipline methods and classroom policies.
- 3. Expressing their commitment to your child's education, such as their organizational skills & positive attitude.
- 4. Gathering information where teachers & parents can exchange information about child's academic progress like his/her attendance marks in examinations and also about the child's social development like his/her behavior and discipline, punctuality etc.
- 5. Developing a Plan where the Teacher-Parent together can address a plan to help the student to work through his/her difficulties or ways to ensure his/her continued success.

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

HOD, Visual Art

Dr. Muktadevi P.Mohite Dean, Visual Art

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion

Date: 05/01/2023

Time: 11 am

Dept: Visual Arts

class Room - 507 & 508

	Parent's Teach	er Interaction	Meeting 202	22-23
Sr. No.	Name of the Parents	Course	Year	Sign
7.	Athorav S. Kadam	applied Art	Final year	13
2	Escolastica Texeura	Applied Art	Finalyear	9 few
3	Madhu Kadem	10.00	1	Myoday
ቀ 〉	RAJARAM · B · KHARAT	Applied ART	FEHALYEA	R.B.Kherot
5)	Manisha R. Deopurkor	Applied Art	Final year	MB Degres took
6)	Anita c. Salve	Applied Art	final year	A.C.Salve
7	Charriedetta f Haldorch	Applied An	Airal year	Thadank
8)	Konchon Gradekar	Appliedan	Final year	CRA .
(C	PRASAD H. SARVANUAR	APPLIED AM	FINAL YEAL	Presel Samena
10)	Dinesh m-Pachla	APPWEDA	Afinal-yea	v falus
11	Shetali V. Surve	Applreda	+ Final Geo	Christ
12	SETAL V. DHONDE.	Applieda	Final year	Dhond
13	Vaishali-Nétin- Yadov	applied aut	Finalyeon	Maday
14.	Sheadha. R. Mahadi	Applied by	Finalyear	Steller
15	Suhor B. misofu			0
16	Shringi R budan	co.	, la	3/054
17	Mrs. Vishao S. Bist	Applied	Final you	18130
18		Applied.	Final year	wi
19	Mokeon Swany	Appliel	findyen	Str
10	Vishrum S. Rane	_~	_~	June
21	Somepool of Souch	- 1 -	-11-	920-
0				
10				



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Applied Art Dept. are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We, We Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	が対象	8.1 c	/		
2	General Discipline			V		
3	Involvement with Students		~			
4	Teaching Quality	表 中的方		/		1
5	Ward's Performance		V			
6	Overall Development	X Const		/		
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development		~		3	
8	Infrastructure					
9	Interaction with Parents		. ~			
10	Assessment methods			/		
11	Lab facilities	A Harris		/		
12	Library Facilities		/			
13	Exposure to Industries		/			-

Is your ward going through any stress or strain in Life/ College/home? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) No

If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?

Yes

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same.

Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward.

Name of your ward:

Class:

Father

Name: Mr. VIVEK V. DHONDE

Organization: VODAFONE IDEA LTD.

Office Address: BIRLA CENTURION, 10th 12th floor, CENTURY MILLS COMPOUND WORL, MUMBA

9594004000 Off.No:

Mobile No: 9702003725

Email address: Vivek. dhonde @vodafone idea. com

Mother

Name: Mrs SEJAL VIVER DHONDE

Organization:

Designation:

NOT APPLICABLE

Office Address:

Off .No:

Mobile No:

9702060600

Email Address: jaymala 185 @gmail.com

Date: 16/02/2023

To.

Dear Parents/Guardian,

Our College encourages overall development of its students and we firmly believe that the parents of our students are their constructive Support in grooming the minds. To fulfill our objective we have decided to organize Parents Teacher Interaction Meet for First Year Painting on February 23, 2023.

Parents are required to assemble in classroom 405 at 11:00 am.

We are optimistic that this meeting will help us to communicate to parents about the academic performance /progress/attendance/behavior of their ward which will help them to know efforts required for further improvement of their ward.

We are pleased to invite you the "Parents Teacher Interaction Meet" on February 23, 2023. It is compulsory that least one parent should essentially attend the meeting.

Class Teacher

Ms. Sharddha Kumbhar

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

Dr. Muktadevi Mohite

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of

Engineering and Visual Arts

Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantrada

Pall Marg. Sion, Mumbai - 400 022

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion 23/2/2023 Date: 11:00AM Time: Class Room: 405 Visual Arts Dept: Parent's Teacher Interaction Meeting Year Sign Course Name of the Parents Sr. No. First Year Painting Archana J. Kapare 1 Kalpana gawai 2 gena kothe Pakhi R. Shah 5 Manew pararel . 6 Girish Dedhia. 7 Madhushin Koyanda 8 Shapmila NGOSan 10 11 12

If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?

Yes

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same

If the faculties & college would try to educate the parents like i towards the perspective towards visual Arts it will be easier for us to know what our kids are into Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can

constantly update you on the performance of your ward. Name of your ward: Janhari Jayant Kopare

1st year B.F.A. - Painting Class:

Father

Name:

Organization:

Designation:

Office Address:

Off .No:

Mobile No:

Email address:

Mother

Archana Jayant Kapare

Organization: Vastushilp Creation.

Designation: Manager - Business Development

Office Address: First Floor, Swastik Apartment, Law College Road, Rune -411000

Off No:

Mobile No: 9322933508

Email Address: archana 9977 @ gmail. com



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Painting Dept, are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We, First Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

1	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department	V				
2	General Discipline	V		40.5		
3	Involvement with Students	V	32/3	1		
4	Teaching Quality	V				
5	Ward's Performance	V		1 10 1		
6	Overall Development	~				
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development	~		1		
8	Infrastructure	V				
9	Interaction with Parents	~			Gen A	
10	Assessment methods	~				
11	Lab facilities	V			1	
12	, actitudes	V		-	The same of	
13	Exposure to Industries	V		1		
	is your ward going through any stress or st	rain in Life/	College/home	P D Yes	JÓ NO	

If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?

Yes

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same.

Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward.

OJAS KOTHE Name of your ward:

Ist year Class:

Premchand Kothe Father Name:

Barclays Indias Organization:

Designation:

Office Address:

Off .No:

9833624506 Mobile No:

premchand kothera gmail. com Email address:

Mother

MYS. EENA PREMCHAND KOTHE Name:

Housewife Organization:

Designation:

Office Address:

Off No:

9987227779 Mobile No:

Email Address:



PTIM INVITATION LETTER

Date: 23/12/2022

To,	
Mr. / Mrs	COLUMN TO SELECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
Dear Parents/Guardian,	of its students and we

Our College encourages overall development of its students and believe that the parents of our students are their constructive Support in grooming their minds. To fulfill our objective we have decided to organize Parents Teacher Interaction Meet for Second Year Painting on January 6, 2023

Parents are required to assemble in classroom 416 at 11:00 am.

We are optimistic that this meeting will help us to communicate to parents about the academic performance /progress/attendance/behavior of their ward which will help them to know efforts required for further improvement of their ward.

We are pleased to invite you the "Parents Teacher Interaction Meet" on January 6, 2023. It is compulsory that least one parent should essentially attend the meeting.

Class Teacher

Mr. Amit Kshirsagar

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

Dr. Muktadevi Mohite

Vasantdada Patil Pretishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Marg, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022.

A AI QUAD CAMERA



Parent Teacher's Interaction Meet for the Academic Year 2022-23

09/01/2023

The college has organized a Parent-teacher Interaction meeting for Second year Painting students on Thursday, January 6, 2023 in the college at 11:00 AM. Total 05 Parents were report for the meet. The main purpose of meet was create a common platform, where teacher and parents come together to enrich the student's educational experiences, Department activities, student progress and performance, regarding all round development of students. The Parents were intimated about the meeting well in advance.

The Parent Teacher Interaction meeting was conducted as per the following schedule:

- 1. Parent teacher gathering was started by tea and biscuits.
- 2. Parent teacher interaction meeting started with a speech of Dr. Muktadevi Mohite (Dean of Visual Arts)
- 3. Followed by motivational speech of Dr. Minal, Head of the department and Dr. Mohite.
- 4. At the end Mr. Amit Kshirsagar, Class teacher of second year Painting shared his worthy experience with parent as well as faculty members of department.
- Also, Parents were invited to express their views about the college, their views regarding the development of their wards and to come up with suggestions.
- 6. Vote of thanks to the Parents was given by Ms. Sharddha madam
- 7. After this parents went to meet the subject teachers, discussed about the academic performance of the students like class

attendance, terminal examination marks and overall discipline in the campus.

8. The PTM came to an end with the conclusion that the progress and development of the students depend on the joint efforts of parents and teachers.

THE PURPOSE/OBJECTIVE OF PARENT TEACHER MEETING WAS AIMED AT:

- The main objective of the meeting was to create a common platform, where teachers and parents come together to discuss student's performance and devise ways to enrich their learning experience.
- 2. Building a Partnership where a Teacher often introduces parents to their teaching style, discipline methods and classroom policies.
- 3. Expressing their commitment to your child's education, such as their organizational skills & positive attitude.
- 4. Gathering information where teachers & parents can exchange information about child's academic progress like his/her attendance marks in examinations and also about the child's social development like his/her behavior and discipline, punctuality etc.
- Developing a Plan where the Teacher-Parent together can address a plan to help the student to work through his/her difficulties or ways to ensure his/her continued success.

Dr. Minal Rajurkar HOD, Visual Art

Dr. Muktadevi P.Mohite Dean, Visual Art

6

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion 6/1/2023 Date: class Room - 416 11:00 AM Time: Visual Arts Dept: Parent's Teacher Interaction Meeting Sign Year Name of the Parents Course Sr. No. Second Year Painting Painer 19 Shridhar Vasant Bhops 721214



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Painting Dept, are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We, Second Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

Г	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department		~			
2	General Discipline		1.		4466	
3	Involvement with Students		V			18
4	Teaching Quality	1				- 1
5	Ward's Performance			~		
6	Overall Development		~			
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development			/		
8	Infrastructure				/	
9	Interaction with Parents		-			
10	Assessment methods		-			
11	Lab facilities				~	
12	Library Facilities			1		Pige.
13	Exposure to Industries			-		

Is your ward going through any stress or strain in Life/ College/home? [] Yes





If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?

Yes

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please

provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same. e us with this information so that we can make amendments for the

Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward.

Name of your ward: Pohit Kumar Pajesh Kumar Varma

Class: 2 nd year painting .

Father

Name: Pajesh Kumar Vanna

Organization: Rahul Decorators

Designation: Painting interior.

Office Address: Home.

Off No:

Mobile No: 9419235072

Email address: rahulde coraturs no 1@gmail.com

Mother

Name: Sunita Varma

Organization:

Designation: House wife

Office Address: How

Off.No: ~

Mobile No: 8591069484

Email Address: -



PTIM INVITATION LETTER

Date:23/12/2022

То,	
Mr. / Mrs	

Dear Parents/Guardian,

Our College encourages overall development of its students and we firmly believe that the parents of our students are their constructive Support in grooming their minds. To fulfill our objective we have decided to organize Parents Teacher Interaction Meet for Third Year Painting on January 6, 2023

Parents are required to assemble in classroom 417 at 2:00 pm.

We are optimistic that this meeting will help us to communicate to parents about the academic performance /progress/attendance/behavior of their ward which will help them to know efforts required for further improvement of their ward.

We are pleased to invite you the "Parents Teacher Interaction Meet" on January 6, 2023. It is compulsory that least one parent should essentially attend the meeting.

Class Teacher

Mr. Tushar Moleshwari

(Logustion HOD

Dr. Minal Rajurkar

DEAN

Dr.Muktadevi Mohite

Vasantdada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts Vasantdada Patil Educational Complex, Eastern

Express Highway, Padmabhushan Vasantdada. Patil Marg, Sion, Mumbai - 400 022.



Parent Teacher's Interaction Meet For the Academic Year 2022-23

09/01/2023

The college has organized a Parent-teacher Interaction meeting for Third Year Painting students on Thursday, January 6, 2023 in the college at 2:00 PM. Total 03 Parents were report for the meet. The main purpose of meet was create a common platform, where teacher and parents come together to enrich the student's educational experiences, Department activities, student progress and performance, regarding all round development of students. The Parents were intimated about the meeting well in advance.

The Parent Teacher Interaction meeting was conducted as per the following schedule:

- 1. Parent teacher gathering was started by tea and biscuits.
- Parent teacher interaction meeting started with a speech of Dr. Muktadevi Mohite (Dean of Visual Arts)
- 3. Followed by motivational speech of Dr. Minal, Head of the department, Visual Arts and Dr. Mohite.
- 4. At the end Mr. Tushar Moleshwari, Class teacher of Third year Applied Art shared his worthy experience with parent as well as faculty members of department.
- Also, Parents were invited to express their views about the college, their views regarding the development of their wards and to come up with suggestions.
- 6. Vote of thanks to the Parents was given by Ms. Sharddha madam
- 7. After this parents went to meet the subject teachers, discussed about the academic performance of the students like class

attendance, terminal examination marks and overall discipline in the campus.

 The PTM came to an end with the conclusion that the progress and development of the students depend on the joint efforts of parents and teachers.

THE PURPOSE/OBJECTIVE OF PARENT TEACHER MEETING WAS AIMED AT:

- The main objective of the meeting was to create a common platform, where teachers and parents come together to discuss student's performance and devise ways to enrich their learning experience.
- Building a Partnership where a Teacher often introduces parents to their teaching style, discipline methods and classroom policies.
- Expressing their commitment to your child's education, such as their organizational skills & positive attitude.
- 4. Gathering information where teachers & parents can exchange information about child's academic progress like his/her attendance marks in examinations and also about the child's social development like his/her behavior and discipline, punctuality etc.
- Developing a Plan where the Teacher-Parent together can address a plan to help the student to work through his/her difficulties or ways to ensure his/her continued success.

Dr. Minal Rajurkar HOD, Visual Art Dr. Muktadevi P.Mohite Dean, Visual Art

Vasantdada Patil Pratishathan's College of Engineering and Visual Arts, Sion 6/1/2023 Date: 02:00 pm 2:00 pm Time: closs 800m - 417 Visual Arts Dept: Parent's Teacher Interaction Meeting Sr. No. Name of the Parents Year Course Sign Sangita Swadker shivasi N. Baig - Ramech Deshmane. Third Year Painting 3.



FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Parents,

We at VPPCOE &VA, Painting Dept, are extremely grateful to you for spending your valuable time with us. We. Third Year Department constantly strive for the betterment of your child's performance. After interacting with the various staff members and knowing the performance of your ward we request you to give your feedback regarding the college in terms of the existing teaching learning process, infrastructure and any new changes that you would want see happening in the near future.

F	Quantifying Factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Department		-			
2	General Discipline					
3	Involvement with Students		-			
4	Teaching Quality					
5	Ward's Performance	1999		1		
6	Overall Development		1		Berlin II	-183
7	Involvement in Extra-Curricular and Co-curricular Development					
8	Infrastructure		-			
9	Interaction with Parents		108 158	7		
10	Assessment methods	(10-21-3-1		1 199 3	
11	Lab facilities	1				
12	Library Facilities	-				
13	Exposure to Industries		1000	1		

Is your ward going through any stress or strain in Life/ College/home? [] Yes [] No

If yes, please specify

Is there any medical condition we should be aware of?
Yes

If yes, please specify

Are there any other valuable suggestions that you want to offer us regarding the teaching learning process? Please provide us with this information so that we can make amendments for the same.

Dear parent, we would be highly obliged if you could please provide us with your contact details so we can constantly update you on the performance of your ward.

Name of your ward: SAMACKLA SHIVKY' BAIS

Class: Third year Painting

Father

Name: ShiVESTI H. BRIE

Organization:

Designation:

Office Address: POLICE mit, Bombalt.

Off .No:

Mobile No: 8169782185

Email address:

Mother

Name:

Organization:

Designation:

Office Address:

Off No:

Mobile No:

Email Address:

INFRASTRUCTURE FEEDBACK



VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS: (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)


NBA ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to 14.03.2015) COMP. ENGGJ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGGJ I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Infrastructure Feedback 2022-23

S.E (AI & DS)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Average	Fair
1	Classrooms infrastructure	16	24	6	8	8
2	Laboratories	12	24	14	4	8
3	Internet Facility	16 ·	16	14	8	8
4	Common room facility	8	20	10	4	20
5	College Ambience	16	24	2	8	12
6	Canteen	12	8	14	16	12
7	Sports facility	20	16	14	4	8
8	Indoor Sports	12	12	10	8	20
9	Medical Facility	12	16	10	8	16
10	Parking Space	20	12	6	12	12
11	Overall rating	8	28	6	8	12





VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS


TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES: (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG. | EXTC. ENGG. | EXTC. ENGG. | I.T. (F.No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

T.E (AI & DS)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Average	Fair
Sr. 140.	Quintily-8		18	20	8	4
1	Classrooms infrastructure	6	******		4	4
	Laboratories	10	18	20		4
2	The state of the s	10	18	20	4	
3	Internet Facility		14	14	6	16
4	Common room facility	6		14	10	8
100	College Ambience	10	14		2000	4
5		6	14	20	12	
6	Canteen		16	20	8	2
7	Sports facility	10		12	14	6
	Indoor Sports	8	16	97255	8	4
8		8	14	22		
9	Medical Facility	8	16	20	8	4
10	Parking Space	1000	20	20	2	6
11	Overall rating	8	20	20		



CHESTORY OF SHEET

VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)


NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES : (During 15.03.2012 to 14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG./ ELEX. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG./ I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

B.E (EXTC)

Sr. No.	Quantifying factors	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Average	Fair
1	Classrooms	8	12	2	4	4
2	Laboratories	6	12	6	2	4
3	Internet Facility	8	8	6	4	4
	Common room facility	4	10	4	2	10
4	College Ambience	8	12		4	6
5		6	4	6	8	6
6	Canteen	10	8	6	2	4
7	Sports facility			4	4	10
8	Indoor Sports	6	6			8
9	Medical Facility	6	8	4	4	
10	Parking Space	10	6	2	6	6
11	Overall rating	4	14	2	4	6





VASANTDADA PATIL PRATISHTHAN'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND VISUAL ARTS

TRUST REGD. NO. F. 6736 (MUMBAI)

NAAC ACCREDITATION FOR 5 YEARS : (28.03.2019 to 27.03.2024)

NBA ACCREDITED COURSES : (During 15.03.2012 to14.03.2015) COMP. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. / EXTC. ENGG. / I.T.

(F. No 28-110 / 2010 - NBA Dated 02/04/2012)

Actions Taken Report

 The overall response from the students was very good and they provided us feedback regarding classrooms infrastructure, Laboratories, Internet Facility, Common room facility, College Ambience, Canteen, Sports facility, Indoor Sports, Medical Facility, Parking Space and also overall ratings.

2. Use the feedback collected to further improve the infrastructure facility.

Dr. Pramod Bhavarthe

HOD (EXTC & AI-DS)

HOD.

Artificial Intelligence & Date Science, Vasandada Patil Pratishthan's College of Engineering & Visual Arts, Sion, Mumbai - 400022.